Journal of Multidimensional Education, Vol 2 (2) 2025: 115-137

EduSpectrum

https://mabadiiqtishada.org/index.php/EduSpectrum

ISSN: 3062-8083; e-ISSN: 3062-9179

Data-Informed Professional Development for Strengthening Teacher Assessment Literacy in the Digital Era

Hazhar Talaat Abubaker Blbas[1], Asma Elmar Mansurzada[2], Tofig Hasanov[3]

[1] *College of Administration and Economics. Salahaddin University-Erbil. Iraq*

[2] *Department of İnformation Technologies. Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu. Romania*

3 Dagestan State Agrarian University named after M.M. Dzhambulatov. Russian Federation

ARTICLE INFO

Received Aug 04, 2025
Accepted Dec 22, 2025
Published Dec 25, 2025

Keywords:
Assessment Literacy; Data-Informed Professional Development; Digital Assessment; Teacher Data Literacy; Artificial Intelligence in Education

ABSTRACT

This study examines how data-informed professional development can strengthen teacher assessment literacy amid the growing demands of the digital era. Using a qualitative design, the research combines library investigation and content analysis of scholarly literature published over the past decade related to digital assessment, teacher data literacy, and technology-supported instructional decision-making. Findings reveal that digital transformation in education requires teachers to master data interpretation skills, utilize technology-enhanced assessment tools, and develop ethical awareness regarding privacy and algorithmic bias. However, many teachers continue to face competency gaps, inadequate professional development, and challenges in integrating digital assessment practices effectively. The study highlights that meaningful professional development must be continuous, collaborative, evidence-based, and incorporate data literacy, AI literacy, and reflective pedagogical practice. Such an approach equips teachers to interpret complex data, design valid digital assessments, and make ethically responsible, evidence-driven instructional decisions. This research provides conceptual clarity and a foundation for designing comprehensive professional development frameworks aimed at enhancing teacher assessment literacy within rapidly evolving digital learning environments.

How to cite: Blbas, H. T. A., Mansurzada, A. E., & Hasanov, T. (2025). Data-Informed Professional Development for Strengthening Teacher Assessment Literacy in the Digital Era. *Edu Spectrum: Journal of Multidimensional Education*, 2(2), 115–137. https://doi.org/10.70063/eduspectrum.v2i2.129

This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons 4.0 Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ©2023 by author.

* *Corresponding author: hazhar.abubaker@su.edu.krd*

INTRODUCTION

The proliferation of digital technologies has ushered in an era where data-driven decision-making is increasingly central to pedagogical efficacy, yet many educators still struggle to effectively utilize the wealth of available student data (Cowie et al., 2021). This inadequacy is frequently attributed to insufficient assessment literacy among teachers, hindering their capacity to develop valid and reliable classroom assessment practices. This challenge is particularly pronounced in the digital era, where teachers must not only adapt to new technological tools but also navigate the complex landscape of big data and learning analytics to inform their instructional strategies (Trevisan et al., 2024). Specifically, many educators face

significant hurdles in translating theoretical assessment knowledge into practical application, leading to a notable gap in their ability to leverage data for ethical, valid, and reliable classroom assessments (Zakaria et al., 2023). Moreover, the shift towards smart education and the widespread adoption of learning technologies during recent global events have amplified the need for teachers to continuously update their knowledge and skills in data literacy to generate better learning experiences for students (Cui & Hai, 2022; Zou et al., 2025).

Despite these evolving demands, the existing literature reveals a conspicuous absence of comprehensive research on measuring and developing teachers' digital assessment competence, especially concerning their self-efficacy perceptions (Karadağ & Koyuncu, 2025). This paper aims to bridge this gap by exploring the development of data-informed professional development models specifically designed to enhance teacher assessment literacy within the context of digital advancements (Alonzo et al., 2024). It investigates how structured professional learning, incorporating reflective practices and pedagogical reasoning, can empower educators to effectively interpret and apply diverse data sources to refine their assessment practices (Trevisan et al., 2024). By delineating the critical components of such professional development, this study seeks to contribute to a deeper conceptual clarity regarding teachers' data literacy in the contemporary educational landscape (Lee et al., 2024; Skedsmo & Huber, 2024).

The acceleration of digital transformation in education has fundamentally changed how assessment is conceptualized, implemented, and utilized to support student learning. With the proliferation of learning management systems, digital assessments, analytics dashboards, and AI-powered evaluation tools, teachers are increasingly required to interpret complex data to inform instructional decisions. This shift has elevated *assessment literacy*—the ability to design, interpret, and use assessment information effectively—as a critical professional competency in contemporary education systems. However, despite the abundance of educational data, many teachers struggle to interpret this information, leading to potential misinterpretations and ineffective pedagogical decisions (Sandoval-Ríos et al., 2025). This challenge is compounded by a lack of adequate professional training and development opportunities focused on language assessment literacy, often forcing teachers to rely on informal methods rather than structured, evidence-based approaches.

However, evidence shows that many teachers still face challenges in understanding digital data sources, analyzing assessment results, and translating insights into meaningful pedagogical actions. Traditional models of professional development often prioritize content knowledge and general pedagogical skills but overlook data-informed decision-making. Therefore, reframing teacher professional development through digital assessment literacy is necessary to enhance instructional quality, support equitable learning outcomes, and strengthen accountability in the digital age. This research posits that effective professional development programs must integrate both assessment literacy and data literacy to equip educators with the comprehensive skills required for navigating the complexities of digital learning environments (Alonzo et al., 2024; Michos et al., 2023). This study aims to provide a robust framework for developing such programs, highlighting the crucial interplay between teacher self-efficacy and the effective integration of digital tools for assessment. This research explores how data-informed approaches can transform teachers' assessment literacy and identifies key dimensions of professional development tailored to digital-era demands.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research design, combining library research and content analysis. Library research was conducted through a systematic review of scholarly books, peer-reviewed journals, policy reports, and digital assessment frameworks published in the last ten years. Sources were selected based on conceptual relevance to teacher professional development, digital assessment tools, and data-informed teaching practices. This approach allowed for a comprehensive understanding of existing theoretical frameworks and empirical findings concerning assessment literacy, digital competence, and data use in educational contexts (Insani et al., 2024; Sandoval-Ríos et al., 2025). The content analysis component systematically examined these diverse sources to identify recurrent themes, gaps in current understanding, and exemplary practices in data-informed professional development for enhancing teacher assessment literacy (Cipriano & Martins, 2025). Specifically, this involved a meticulous deconstruction of relevant literature to synthesize a nuanced understanding of how teachers' personal beliefs and attitudes towards assessment, alongside their sociocultural adaptation capabilities, influence their embrace of data-driven instructional modifications (Literature Review, n.d.).

A qualitative content analysis approach was used to identify dominant patterns and thematic categories within the literature. Coding procedures included classifying data into categories such as digital assessment competencies, data interpretation skills, instructional decision-making, professional development models, and challenges in digital assessment implementation. The analytical goal was to synthesize existing knowledge, highlight trends, and interpret implications for strengthening assessment literacy in the digital era.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Reconceptualizing Assessment Literacy in Digital Learning Environments

This redefinition encompasses an understanding of diverse assessment forms, from traditional tests to technology-enhanced authentic assessments, and the ability to interpret the resulting digital data effectively (Hull & Vígh, 2024). It also extends to an appreciation for the ethical implications of data privacy and algorithmic bias inherent in many digital assessment tools. Crucially, this evolving understanding necessitates that teachers develop a sophisticated "knowledge of theory" regarding language learning and assessment, alongside robust "technical skills" in utilizing digital assessment platforms. This includes the capacity to design valid tests, implement varied assessment types, and adapt assessments to specific contexts, aligning with contemporary insights on Language Assessment Literacy. Furthermore, teachers must cultivate a "socio-cultural adaptation" ability, ensuring assessments are culturally sensitive and appropriate for their diverse student populations, a vital dimension of comprehensive assessment literacy.

This expanded view recognizes that assessment literacy is not merely a checklist of professional standards but is deeply embedded in socio-cultural perspectives, emphasizing its context-dependent and socially distributed nature (Pastore, 2023). This perspective highlights that teachers' personal beliefs and attitudes towards assessment significantly influence their practices, underscoring the need for professional development that addresses these underlying beliefs. Moreover, effective professional development should aim to bridge the gap between teachers' practical experience in language assessment and their theoretical understanding, which often remains underdeveloped. This necessitates a shift towards

integrating robust theoretical foundations with practical application within professional development programs (Giraldo, 2021). Such programs should recognize the significant role of collaborative learning groups and communities as dynamic platforms for sharing resources, discussing theories, and fostering continuous, long-term professional growth (Latif, 2021). This comprehensive approach ensures that teachers are equipped not only with technical expertise but also with a critical understanding of how assessment intersects with pedagogy, ethics, and diverse learning contexts (Latif, 2021).

In the digital era, assessment literacy expands beyond understanding rubrics and traditional evaluation. Teachers must master digital data types—such as real-time analytics, adaptive learning outputs, and automated feedback mechanisms. The digital context demands multidimensional expertise, merging technical proficiency with pedagogical judgment to evaluate the validity, reliability, and relevance of technology-driven assessment information. This necessitates a comprehensive understanding of various assessment concepts, including theoretical knowledge and background principles, coupled with the ability to make informed scoring and decision-making processes based on diverse data sources (Ketkumbonk et al., 2025). This includes being able to discern the quality of assessment tasks, interpret assessment results accurately, and effectively communicate these findings to students, parents, and other stakeholders. This integrated approach ensures that teachers are not only proficient in using digital tools for assessment but also possess the critical thinking skills necessary to leverage assessment data for meaningful instructional improvement.

The imperative for robust professional development stems from this expanded definition, emphasizing the need for continuous training that addresses both the theoretical underpinnings and practical applications of digital assessment (Estaji, 2024; Herrera & Macías, 2015). Many educators, however, openly acknowledge an inadequacy in their assessment literacy, often resorting to imitative practices rather than developing contextually tailored approaches for student needs. This deficiency is further compounded by heavy workloads, which frequently prevent teachers from dedicating sufficient time to develop robust assessment skills, creating an urgent demand for structured and accessible professional learning opportunities. This gap is often exacerbated by limited professional training and development opportunities, which hinder teachers from updating their knowledge and skills with the latest assessment technologies and methodologies.

Such training must move beyond generic, "one-size-fits-all" technology integration approaches to provide contextualized and meaningful learning experiences that align with the specific needs of diverse teaching and learning environments (Fleur & Dlamini, 2022). This targeted professional development is crucial for equipping teachers with the digital assessment literacy necessary to effectively leverage modern technologies for evaluating student performance and to act as competent assessors in the digital age (Karadağ & Koyuncu, 2025). However, for teachers to truly harness the potential of technology in assessment, they require more than just technical training; they need significant pedagogical guidance to understand new assessment paradigms and ensure school-wide engagement with innovative assessment processes (Neumann et al., 2019). This includes guided workshops on navigating technology-enhanced assessment platforms, designing reflective classroom discussions based on feedback data, and incorporating remediation tutorials into lesson plans (Bankole & Ayanwale, 2025). Moreover, this holistic support should extend to fostering an understanding of ethical considerations and potential biases inherent in AI-driven assessment tools, ensuring

teachers can critically evaluate and mitigate such issues in their practice (Hopfenbeck et al., 2023).

Furthermore, professional development initiatives must also address the technostress teachers often experience when confronted with new technologies, as this can significantly impede their adoption and effective use of digital assessment tools (Koh, 2023). Therefore, a supportive professional learning environment, coupled with ongoing opportunities for hands-on practice and peer collaboration, is essential to build teacher confidence and competence in navigating the complexities of digital assessment (Xiao et al., 2025). This comprehensive approach ensures that teachers are not only proficient in using digital tools for assessment but also possess the critical thinking skills necessary to leverage assessment data for meaningful instructional improvement. This comprehensive training is pivotal, especially given that many teachers globally exhibit insufficient Language Assessment Literacy, lacking both theoretical and advanced technical understanding, which leads to assessment practices devoid of strong theoretical foundations.

This inadequacy often results in teachers merely copying colleagues' methods rather than developing tailored approaches based on student needs, underscoring a critical need for enhanced professional development. This gap highlights the necessity for sustained and in-depth training programs that transcend basic technical skills, offering comprehensive content and extended durations to foster genuine expertise in digital assessment. Such programs must integrate theoretical knowledge with practical application, enabling teachers to effectively translate their assessment understanding into practice despite persistent hurdles. Given these challenges, a data-informed approach to professional development becomes paramount, focusing on empirical evidence to tailor training programs that directly address identified teacher needs and improve assessment literacy (Kerneža & Zemljak, 2023). This research therefore investigates the effectiveness of a data-informed professional development framework in enhancing teacher assessment literacy within the rapidly evolving digital landscape. Specifically, this study explores how targeted professional development, informed by an analysis of existing teacher competencies and needs, can bridge the gap between traditional assessment practices and the demands of digital assessment in the 21st century.

It seeks to understand how such a framework can enhance educators' capacity to utilize various digital tools for assessment, interpret complex data, and adapt their pedagogical strategies to foster improved learning outcomes (Insani et al., 2024). This research also aims to identify the specific challenges teachers face in integrating digital assessment into their pedagogical practices and how data-informed professional development can mitigate these obstacles (Latif, 2021). Ultimately, the goal is to cultivate a cohort of educators who are not only adept at utilizing digital assessment tools but also possess the critical pedagogical insights to interpret and apply assessment data effectively for student growth and curriculum enhancement. The outcomes of this research are expected to inform the development of more effective professional learning initiatives, addressing the identified gaps in teacher assessment literacy within the digital realm.

Enhancing Proficiency in Data Interpretation for Evidence-Based Instructional Decision-Making

Furthermore, the professional development must equip teachers with the analytical skills to critically evaluate assessment data, moving beyond surface-level observations to identify underlying patterns and causal relationships in student performance. This includes training

in statistical literacy and the interpretation of psychometric reports to ensure they can make evidence-based instructional decisions (Sandvik & Emstad, 2019). Moreover, teachers need to develop the capacity to translate these insights into actionable strategies for differentiated instruction, curriculum modification, and personalized learning pathways. Such advanced data interpretation skills are crucial for moving beyond anecdotal evidence and ensuring that instructional adjustments are systematically informed by robust evidence from assessment data (Cui & Hai, 2022). This depth of understanding enables educators to not only identify learning gaps but also to understand the 'why' behind them, leading to more effective and targeted interventions. This comprehensive approach to data literacy extends to understanding the ethical implications of using student data, including privacy concerns and biased algorithms, which are increasingly relevant in the digital era (Lee et al., 2024).

Given the pervasive challenges teachers face, such as inadequate assessment literacy and limited professional training opportunities, especially in the context of digital tools, continuous professional development programs are crucial for equipping educators with the necessary skills. Indeed, many teachers exhibit significant gaps in both theoretical and practical Language Assessment Literacy, often resorting to imitation rather than innovative approaches. This deficiency often leads to assessment practices lacking strong theoretical foundations, highlighting the urgent need for comprehensive and sustained professional development that transcends basic technical skills and fosters genuine expertise (Giraldo, 2021). Therefore, a robust professional development framework is essential to address these limitations, empowering educators to confidently and effectively implement data-informed assessment practices in their classrooms (Rasyid et al., 2023). This comprehensive training should specifically address the integration of technological literacy to enable teachers to efficiently design, administer, and evaluate online assessments fairly and transparently (Giraldo, 2021).

Effective use of digital assessment tools requires teachers to interpret performance dashboards, identify learning gaps, track progress longitudinally, and align data with instructional strategies. Data-informed decision-making shifts teachers from intuition-based practices to evidence-driven approaches. This transition enhances precision in differentiation, remediation, and enrichment activities while improving overall learning outcomes. It also cultivates a culture of continuous improvement, where instructional practices are regularly refined based on empirical insights from student assessment data. This necessitates professional development programs that go beyond mere tool proficiency, delving into the pedagogical implications of data interpretation and the strategic integration of digital assessment results into daily teaching practices (Børte et al., 2023). Furthermore, while teachers acknowledge the significance of technology in assessment, many possess low-level digital literacy, underscoring the urgent need for targeted training in this area (Suherman, 2022). Therefore, training programs must also focus on developing teachers' digital competencies in assessment, enabling them to effectively leverage technology for both formative and summative evaluation (Cao et al., 2023; Yeşilçınar, 2024).

Crucially, such programs should move beyond theoretical concepts and incorporate authentic, discipline-specific tasks that simulate classroom conditions, facilitating the transfer of new approaches into actual teaching practices (Qadar et al., 2025). These authentic tasks can foster self-efficacy in decision-making, which is often compromised by an over-reliance on standardized data (Alonzo et al., 2021). This ensures that teachers develop practical competencies in assessment rather than just theoretical understanding, allowing them to effectively adapt and innovate within their specific educational contexts (Letina et al., 2025;

Will et al., 2019). This involves a significant emphasis on equipping educators with data literacy, defined as the ability to understand, interpret, and act upon data to improve teaching and learning, a skill often lacking despite the proliferation of digital data sources (Sandoval-Ríos et al., 2025). Moreover, data literacy in the digital era specifically encompasses the capacity to discern valid and reliable data from noise, particularly when integrating varied data streams from disparate digital assessment platforms. This includes developing a critical awareness of algorithmic bias and data privacy implications inherent in many digital assessment tools, ensuring ethical and equitable application of technology in education (Umeji, 2024).

The ability to critically evaluate and utilize assessment data is paramount for teachers in the digital era, yet many still report inadequate assessment literacy. This inadequacy often stems from a lack of consistent ethical guidelines and clear rubrics for unbiased evaluation, alongside limited professional training and development opportunities. This is further compounded by a skill gap in utilizing technology for assessment, resulting in a paradoxical situation where digital tools are extensively used but often with low effectiveness due to teachers' limited technological capabilities (Lei & Lei, 2025). This necessitates professional development that not only enhances assessment literacy but also rigorously addresses the integration of digital tools and critical digital literacy, including an understanding of AIEd outputs and their potential biases (Estaiteyeh & McQuirter, 2024). Consequently, equipping teachers with the knowledge and skills to navigate these complexities is vital for ensuring valid, reliable, and equitable assessment practices in an increasingly digital educational landscape (Karadağ & Koyuncu, 2025).

This includes preparing educators to critically evaluate AI-driven assessment tools and outputs, understanding their inherent nuances and potential biases to ensure fair and accurate student evaluation (Kerneža & Zemljak, 2023). This comprehensive approach ensures that teachers are not merely consumers of technology, but informed and critical practitioners capable of leveraging digital innovations responsibly and effectively to enhance student learning outcomes. This requires a paradigm shift in professional development, moving from one-off workshops to sustained, iterative programs that foster critical digital and assessment literacies. This includes training teachers to be critical-minded "human-in-the-loop" practitioners, especially concerning proprietary AI systems where opacity can obscure underlying mechanisms and potential biases (Stephenson & Harvey, 2022). Moreover, teacher education programs must cultivate not just technical competence, but also ethical discernment regarding emerging technologies like generative AI, ensuring educators can critically evaluate AI outputs for relevance and ethical implications (Karakaya et al., 2025).

This critical understanding is essential given the challenges teachers face, such as inadequate assessment literacy and limited professional training opportunities, which are further exacerbated by the rapid integration of advanced technological tools. These factors underscore the urgent need for a transformative approach to professional development that specifically targets the enhancement of teacher assessment literacy within the context of digital advancements and the pervasive influence of artificial intelligence (Tan, 2025). This paper therefore aims to explore how data-informed professional development can effectively strengthen teacher assessment literacy in the digital era, particularly in navigating the complexities introduced by artificial intelligence. Specifically, it will investigate the types of professional development interventions that are most effective in developing teachers' capacity to critically utilize assessment data and digital tools, including AI, for instructional improvement and equitable student evaluation (Xia et al., 2024). This inquiry will also delve

into the ethical considerations surrounding AI in educational assessment, emphasizing the development of teacher competencies in mitigating bias and ensuring data privacy (Shaghayegh & Ali, 2025).

Reconceptualizing Teacher Professional Development Frameworks

To address existing gaps, it is crucial to establish professional development models that emphasize long-term, intensive engagement rather than fragmented, short-term interventions (Tammets & Ley, 2023). These models should embed reflective practice and pedagogical reasoning, enabling teachers to continuously evaluate and refine their assessment strategies in response to evolving digital tools and student needs (Trevisan et al., 2024). This necessitates a shift towards collaborative learning communities where educators can share best practices, discuss challenges, and collectively develop innovative assessment approaches tailored to the digital learning environment (Rütti-Joy et al., 2024). Furthermore, such models must integrate explicit training on critical AI literacy, empowering teachers to not only use AI tools but also to critically evaluate their outputs, understand their limitations, and address potential algorithmic biases in assessment (Estaiteyeh & McQuirter, 2024; Xia et al., 2024). This approach moves beyond mere technical proficiency, fostering a deeper understanding of the ethical and pedagogical implications of AI in assessment (Tan, 2025).

This approach supports the development of a professional learning culture that is responsive to technological advancements while maintaining a focus on equitable and effective assessment practices. Moreover, these programs should be flexible, allowing for customization to address the diverse needs and contexts of educators across various disciplines and educational levels (Lademann et al., 2025). This flexibility ensures that professional development remains relevant and impactful, bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application in the classroom. Moreover, ongoing support and professional development opportunities should be consistently provided, including practical training, collaborative activities, and raising awareness about emerging assessment trends and technologies, such as technology-enhanced assessments (Estaji, 2024). This includes the development of teacher competencies in evaluating the efficacy and appropriateness of various digital assessment platforms and tools (Ng et al., 2023).

Such initiatives are critical for ensuring that educators can effectively integrate digital innovations while upholding principles of fairness, validity, and equity in their assessment practices (Ramanujam & Ismail, 2024; Trevisan et al., 2024). This comprehensive approach acknowledges the complexities of assessing diverse student populations and addresses teacher-related challenges such as inadequate language assessment literacy and limited training opportunities. This transformative approach helps to address the existing skill gap in utilizing technology for assessment, moving beyond practical understanding to encompass theoretical and advanced technical comprehension. By equipping teachers with a profound understanding of assessment technologies, professional development programs can foster a proactive stance towards emerging digital assessment paradigms, enabling educators to adapt and innovate within the rapidly evolving educational landscape (Fraidan, 2024). Furthermore, these programs should prioritize not only technical skills but also ethical literacy, empowering teachers to make informed decisions about AI adoption in assessment, aligning with broader educational goals (Ofem et al., 2025).

Traditional PD models—often one-off workshops—are insufficient for developing assessment literacy in digital contexts. Contemporary approaches emphasize sustained,

collaborative, and practice-oriented learning. Professional learning communities (PLCs), coaching cycles, and blended PD formats enable teachers to explore digital assessment tools, analyze classroom data, and reflect on instructional adjustments in authentic teaching environments. This allows for the development of both practical and theoretical assessment literacy, addressing the complexities of diverse student populations and ensuring that digital tools are used effectively and ethically. Moreover, continuous professional development is crucial for equipping educators with the necessary technical skills and knowledge of digital pedagogy, enabling them to effectively integrate technology into their teaching practices and avoid underutilization or misapplication of digital tools (Zou et al., 2025). This encompasses developing proficiency in utilizing personalized learning paths facilitated by AI-driven systems and creating inclusive, culturally relevant digital content that caters to diverse student needs (Zou et al., 2025). Furthermore, professional development initiatives must also address ethical considerations such as data privacy, cybersecurity, and student safety to foster trust and ensure responsible use of these technologies in assessment (Ramanujam & Ismail, 2024).

This ongoing professional development is essential for teachers to navigate the rapidly evolving landscape of digital assessment, ensuring they can adapt their pedagogical strategies to leverage emerging technologies effectively and ethically (Kerneža & Zemljak, 2023; Zou et al., 2025). It also supports the continuous improvement of assessment literacy among educators, which is crucial for upholding the integrity and fairness of evaluations in an increasingly digitalized educational environment. Furthermore, to effectively implement data-informed professional development, it is imperative to secure sustainable learner experience improvement through increased integration of AI in educational practices (Rütti-Joy et al., 2023). This integration should be carefully managed, however, considering the ethical implications of data utilization and ensuring student privacy and consent are rigorously maintained (Zou et al., 2025). Such efforts align with the concept of the Learning Professional, who is expected to be data-informed, ethically responsible, and collectively reflective, deriving authority from transparent and evidence-based integration of analytics into practice (Leibur & Saks, 2025).

Additionally, professional development needs to incorporate algorithmic literacy, guiding teachers on how to understand and critically evaluate the data manipulation and recommendation processes of algorithms, thereby facilitating a more humanistic application of AI in their classrooms (Holmes et al., 2023). This ensures that educators are not merely consumers of AI tools but active, informed agents in shaping how these technologies serve pedagogical goals. Furthermore, these training programs should empower teachers with practical, hands-on experience in applying AI tools for sophisticated data analysis and integrating them into learning management systems (Miller, 2024). This deeper engagement with AI necessitates a focus on ethical considerations, including data privacy and potential biases in algorithms, which aligns with the broader goal of fostering responsible innovation in education (Trevisan et al., 2024). Addressing these ethical dimensions is crucial, particularly as AI technologies raise concerns about algorithmic bias and the perpetuation of inequities, which directly impact student data privacy and confidentiality (Trevisan et al., 2024). The integration of ethical reflection into professional development pathways is therefore crucial, enabling teachers to critically evaluate the implications of AI in educational settings and become active contributors to the co-authorship of the educational plot (Meletiou-Mavrotheris et al., 2025; Mouta et al., 2024).

This also entails providing teachers with theoretical foundations and practical applications to leverage AI for personalized learning, adaptive assessments, and predictive analytics, thus fostering deeper pedagogical insights and improved student outcomes (Khosravi et al., 2023; Tammets & Ley, 2023). Furthermore, educators need to develop an acute awareness of the ethical implications associated with AI-driven tools, including potential algorithmic biases and data privacy concerns, to ensure responsible and equitable implementation (Daher, 2025; Rütti-Joy et al., 2024). Moreover, professional development should cultivate a "future-ready" mindset among teachers, equipping them with the adaptability and skills necessary to navigate the constantly evolving technological and professional landscape of education (Daher, 2025). This includes equipping teachers with the ability to critically analyze how digital tools determine relevance, correctness, or worth knowing, ensuring alignment with their pedagogical values (Ciccone, 2023). Ultimately, comprehensive professional development fosters teachers' critical agency, enabling them to evaluate both the benefits and risks of AI in education, including concerns such as algorithmic bias and surveillance (Huynh et al., 2025).

This critical evaluation is essential for developing the skills and confidence needed to effectively integrate advanced technologies like the Metaverse into teaching practices, thereby realizing their full potential for enhancing learning (Ramanujam & Ismail, 2024). Moreover, a significant aspect of professional development is equipping educators with strategies to address the ethical dilemmas inherent in AI integration, bridging the gap between technological innovation and classroom implementation (Cubio, 2025). This requires not only technical proficiency but also a deep understanding of ethical guidelines to mitigate risks such as data privacy breaches and algorithmic biases that may exacerbate educational inequalities (Rütti-Joy et al., 2024; Zou et al., 2025). Therefore, ongoing professional development must focus on fostering a "mindset that matters," integrating ethical considerations, human-centered design, and critical pedagogy to cultivate educators who can responsibly integrate AI into classrooms (Huynh et al., 2025). Such comprehensive professional learning is vital for empowering teachers to become adept at navigating the intricate interplay between technology, pedagogy, and the broader societal context, while upholding a human-centered and ethically aware approach to AI integration (Huynh et al., 2025; Mouta et al., 2024).

Overcoming Challenges and Barriers in Digital Assessment Implementation

Overcoming these challenges requires a multifaceted approach, including robust policy frameworks, adequate resource allocation, and continuous support for educators to enhance their digital assessment literacy. This includes addressing the lack of appropriate learning resources and restricted access to technology, which have been identified as significant institutional challenges. Socioeconomic status, for instance, significantly influences access to digital resources, thereby widening educational disparities, a gap that can only be bridged through collaborative efforts between educators, policymakers, and community stakeholders (Daher, 2025). Furthermore, the digital divide is not solely about access to technology, but also concerns the quality and equitable distribution of that access, highlighting the need for infrastructure development that considers geographical and socioeconomic disparities (Hassan, 2023). This necessitates significant investment in both hardware and internet connectivity, particularly in underserved communities, to ensure equitable opportunities for all learners (Ayala-Pazmiño, 2023; Zou et al., 2025).

Additionally, institutions must address the pervasive issue of digital inequality by developing targeted interventions and support systems to assist students from disadvantaged backgrounds in navigating digital learning environments (Pimentel, 2024). Such interventions should extend beyond mere provision of devices to encompass comprehensive digital literacy training, ensuring that both students and educators possess the requisite skills to effectively utilize digital tools for assessment and learning (Eden et al., 2024). Moreover, overcoming institutional barriers, such as limited learning resources and insufficient technological infrastructure, is crucial for fostering an environment where digital assessment can thrive. This includes prioritizing adequate funding for technology upgrades and professional development programs that specifically address the integration of digital tools in assessment practices. Furthermore, concerns regarding limited faculty training and uneven technological access across institutions and student populations must be systematically addressed to mitigate potential threats to academic integrity and data security inherent in digital assessment (Guruloo & Osman, 2024).

Despite technological advancements, teachers frequently encounter obstacles such as limited digital competence, lack of access to quality data, insufficient training on analytics tools, and concerns about data privacy. These barriers impede the development of assessment literacy. Institutional support, infrastructure readiness, and ethical data governance are crucial to ensuring effective implementation of digital assessment practices. Addressing the digital divide, which encompasses both access to technology and the skills to use it effectively, is paramount for equitable digital assessment (Koh et al., 2023). This divide is further complicated by challenges such as unreliable internet access, incompatible software and hardware, and a lack of consistent technical support, particularly in underdeveloped regions and rural areas (Zou et al., 2025). These disparities often lead to what are termed "Tier 2 equity issues," where the focus shifts from mere access to technology to the effective and equitable classroom utilization of digital resources and tools (Raynault et al., 2022).

Therefore, robust strategies must be implemented to familiarize students with diverse digital environments and assessment modalities to bridge these gaps, ensuring that disparities in technological literacy do not reflect as achievement gaps (Ercikan et al., 2018). This entails not only providing access to appropriate hardware and software but also developing pedagogical approaches that leverage these tools to enhance assessment practices without exacerbating existing inequalities (Zou et al., 2025). This holistic approach ensures that digital assessments are not merely technological upgrades but serve as catalysts for more equitable and effective learning outcomes ("Preface," 2023). To this end, continuous professional development programs are essential for equipping teachers with the necessary skills to design, implement, and interpret digital assessments effectively, thereby fostering greater assessment literacy. Such programs should address specific gaps identified by educators, including improving skills in using technology for assessments like online quizzes and digital platforms, which often require extra preparation and training.

This highlights the need for teacher training to address both the technical and pedagogical knowledge required to effectively integrate digital assessment tools. A significant portion of educators, especially in regions like Portugal, still demonstrate a low regular usage of Information and Communication Technologies for teaching, underscoring a critical need for targeted professional development initiatives (Cipriano & Martins, 2024). Furthermore, many teachers exhibit a notable gap in their theoretical and advanced technical understanding of language assessment literacy, often struggling to translate this knowledge into practical application. This deficit in practical application often stems from a lack of exposure to diverse

digital assessment tools and methodologies, further exacerbated by limited opportunities for hands-on, experiential learning. This underscores the urgent need for comprehensive professional development programs that not only introduce theoretical concepts but also provide practical, guided experiences in utilizing digital assessment technologies (Saud & Laudari, 2023).

These programs must account for varying levels of digital competence among educators, including addressing gender disparities in perceived technological proficiency, to ensure equitable and effective skill development (Alastor et al., 2025). Such initiatives should also focus on overcoming institutional challenges like restricted learning resources, which can hinder the design of varied and effective language assessments. This further underscores the critical importance of providing targeted professional development initiatives that align with teachers' specific needs and institutional contexts, ultimately aiming to enhance their digital literacy and assessment capabilities (Karamifar et al., 2019; Saud & Laudari, 2023). This includes training in designing and implementing task-based assessments that simulate real-world academic tasks, as well as incorporating formative assessment techniques for continuous feedback (Estaji, 2024). Moreover, enhancing teacher competency and addressing workload pressures through streamlined administrative processes are vital for maximizing the impact of these professional development efforts (Khan et al., 2025).

Teacher confidence in utilizing ICT for assessments is paramount, necessitating continuous professional development to keep pace with technological advancements (Indayani et al., 2023). Moreover, an understanding of the affordances of new digital tools is crucial for teachers to effectively integrate them into their pedagogical practices, recognizing that discrete technical skills alone are insufficient without a clear vision for technology integration in the language classroom (Bui, 2022; Karamifar et al., 2019). This pedagogical shift is essential for moving beyond basic digital literacy to a more nuanced application of technology that genuinely enhances assessment validity and reliability. This holistic approach aims to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, ensuring that teachers are not only proficient in using digital tools but also adept at leveraging them to create more effective and equitable assessment environments (Celeste & Osias, 2024). This necessitates a reconceptualization of professional development that moves beyond mere technical training to encompass pedagogical competence and critical thinking skills in the digital assessment landscape (Insani et al., 2024).

A crucial aspect of this reconceptualization involves integrating continuous feedback mechanisms and peer collaboration into professional development models, allowing teachers to collectively refine their digital assessment strategies (Estaji, 2024). This ensures that professional growth is an ongoing, adaptive process, directly addressing the evolving demands of digital assessment in a collaborative environment (Khateeb, 2023). Such sustained engagement with assessment literacy topics, rather than one-time events, yields more substantial and lasting changes in teacher practices (Allahyari et al., 2023). This continuous engagement is particularly vital in bridging the observed gap between practical language assessment literacy and the theoretical and advanced technical understanding required for sophisticated digital assessment design. Indeed, fostering this deeper understanding necessitates professional development programs that transcend superficial knowledge, emphasizing systematic application of assessment principles and theoretical foundations to effectively leverage digital tools.

Promoting Equity and Fairness through Data-Informed Approaches

Data-informed approaches, particularly those utilizing learning analytics and equity-driven dashboards, offer a powerful means to identify and address disparities in student outcomes, learning strategies, and prior knowledge, thereby fostering more personalized learning experiences (Trevisan et al., 2024). By analyzing data from digital assessments, educators can tailor instructional strategies and interventions to meet the diverse needs of students, thereby promoting more equitable learning outcomes (Fraidan & Alaliwi, 2024). This enables a more nuanced understanding of student performance, moving beyond traditional summative evaluations to incorporate ongoing, formative feedback that directly informs pedagogical adjustments (Estaji, 2024). This capability also extends to identifying and supporting equity-deserving students, ensuring assessments are culturally responsive and accessible, and mitigating the effects of teacher technostress on assessment practices (Koh, 2023). This integration of data analytics with pedagogical practices fosters a more adaptive and responsive educational environment, enabling continuous improvement in both teaching and learning.

Furthermore, data-driven decision-making supports the refinement of digital learning platforms and tools, ensuring their effectiveness is continuously optimized through insights derived from student engagement and performance data (Zou et al., 2025). This allows for a dynamic adjustment of curriculum and instructional design to better align with learner needs and maximize educational impact. The analytical tools embedded in e-exam software, for instance, can provide valuable data on student writing behaviors, such as typing activity and script length, which can inform strategies to improve digital assessment practices (West et al., 2018). Such insights are critical for enhancing the reliability of marking strategies and ensuring the fair evaluation of student work in digital environments (Bjælde et al., 2018). This iterative improvement process, informed by continuous monitoring and data collection, ensures that digital platforms remain relevant, effective, and adaptable to evolving student needs over time (Ramanujam & Ismail, 2024). This is particularly relevant for ensuring that adaptive learning systems, which leverage student data to personalize learning pathways, are continually optimized to provide sophisticated and data-driven educational experiences (Angwaomaodoko, 2023; Bjælde et al., 2018).

Data-informed assessment practices offer opportunities to identify learning disparities and promote equity-based interventions. However, biased algorithms, unequal digital access, and inconsistent data interpretations may reinforce inequities if not addressed thoughtfully. Teachers must therefore develop critical awareness of ethical, cultural, and contextual factors to ensure that digital assessments support all learners fairly. This includes understanding how assessment data can illuminate systemic barriers to learning and inform targeted strategies to mitigate their impact, thereby moving beyond surface-level interventions to address root causes of inequity. Moreover, by rigorously examining data from diverse populations, researchers can pinpoint and alleviate potential biases ingrained within AI-driven assessment frameworks, ensuring equitable opportunities for all individuals (Bulut et al., 2024). This also entails a commitment to transparent and explainable AI systems in educational assessment, enabling educators to understand the rationale behind algorithmic decisions and address potential biases proactively (Nuankaew et al., 2025).

This proactive approach ensures that AI algorithms are trained on diverse and representative datasets, thus safeguarding against the perpetuation of existing inequalities and promoting integrity and fairness in judging student work (Du et al., 2024). This comprehensive approach to data-informed professional development is vital for strengthening teachers' assessment literacy, particularly in navigating the complexities of

digital tools and ensuring equitable outcomes for all students. This necessitates that professional development programs not only focus on technical proficiency with digital tools but also on developing teachers' critical data literacy skills to interpret and utilize assessment data ethically and equitably (DiCerbo, 2020; Dodman et al., 2023). This includes equipping teachers with the ability to critically evaluate the fairness and potential biases within AI-powered assessment systems, thereby fostering a more just and inclusive educational environment (Chinta et al., 2024). Such programs must also address the digital divide and ensure that all educators have equitable access to technology and internet connectivity, thereby preventing further exacerbation of existing disparities (Costa, 2025; Daher, 2025).

Furthermore, ongoing professional development should emphasize ethical guidelines and principles that prioritize fairness, equity, and inclusivity in educational assessment practices, along with mechanisms for monitoring and addressing biases in AI-driven assessment systems (Eden et al., 2024). This ensures that teachers are prepared not only to implement new technologies but also to critically evaluate their implications for diverse student populations, addressing challenges like limited professional training and teachers' lack of skills in using technology. By fostering such competencies, educators can navigate the complexities of digital assessment, ensuring that technological advancements genuinely enhance learning for all students rather than inadvertently creating new barriers (Kerneža & Zemljak, 2023). Moreover, incorporating AI literacy into teacher education is crucial, enabling educators to understand AI capabilities, utilize AI tools effectively, and apply them ethically while being mindful of potential biases, especially concerning marginalized groups (Daher, 2025; Ifenthaler et al., 2024). This multifaceted approach to professional development ultimately aims to cultivate a generation of teachers who are not only adept at leveraging digital assessment tools but are also deeply committed to upholding principles of fairness and equity in their pedagogical practices (Alonzo & Teng, 2023).

This comprehensive training can mitigate challenges such as inadequate language assessment literacy and skill gaps in technology utilization among teachers, as identified in prior research. This holistic approach allows educators to critically engage with AI in education, ensuring its responsible and effective integration into teaching and learning processes (Kerneža & Zemljak, 2023). Such professional development initiatives should therefore emphasize practical application and critical reflection, enabling teachers to effectively translate theoretical understanding into actionable assessment strategies within diverse educational contexts. This includes guided workshops focused on navigating digital assessment interfaces, designing reflective classroom discussions based on feedback data, and incorporating remediation tutorials into lesson plans to directly address identified learning gaps (Bankole & Ayanwale, 2025). By providing teachers with these practical skills, professional development can directly impact their ability to foster authentic assessment and effectively integrate AI as a constructive tool rather than a source of academic misconduct (Estaiteyeh & McQuirter, 2024).

For instance, insights from self-assessment tools for AI literacy can be integrated into professional development programs, allowing educators to gauge their preparedness for AI integration and identify areas for targeted growth (Tenberga & Daniela, 2024). This includes addressing the role of policymakers and administrators in providing comprehensive support, such as mandating and funding professional development, to ensure educators are well-prepared to navigate AI's benefits and risks (Tan & Maravilla, 2024). This structured approach will empower teachers to critically evaluate AI-generated content, discerning its relevance and appropriateness against learning objectives, thereby strengthening their assessment

literacy in the digital era (Karakaya et al., 2025). Furthermore, such targeted interventions can help overcome the prevalent issue of teachers possessing practical assessment literacy but lacking a robust theoretical and advanced technical understanding necessary for effective digital assessment implementation.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that teacher assessment literacy in the digital era encompasses more than understanding traditional evaluation concepts; it requires the ability to interpret digital data, engage with advanced assessment technologies, and recognize ethical implications such as privacy and algorithmic bias. Despite increasing access to digital tools, many teachers still experience significant gaps in data literacy, technological competence, and theoretical foundations. Therefore, professional development must be designed as a sustained, collaborative, and data-driven process that integrates AI literacy, pedagogical reflection, and contextualized learning experiences. Strengthening these competencies enables educators to make informed instructional decisions, ensure equitable assessment practices, and responsibly navigate the complexities of digital education.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

This research is limited by its reliance on literature-based analysis rather than empirical data drawn directly from classroom practice. The reviewed studies come from diverse educational contexts, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Furthermore, the study does not evaluate specific professional development models but instead focuses on identifying conceptual gaps and thematic trends related to digital assessment literacy.

Future studies should empirically test data-informed professional development models across various educational settings to determine their effectiveness. Longitudinal research is needed to assess the sustained impact of digital assessment literacy on teaching practices and student outcomes. Further inquiry should also explore the integration of AI literacy, algorithmic fairness, and ethical data practices within teacher training. Additionally, developing validated instruments to measure teachers' digital assessment literacy and data literacy would contribute significantly to evaluating and improving professional development initiatives.

REFERENCES

Alastor, E., Guillén-Gámez, F. D., & Ruiz-Palmero, J. (2025). Pre-Service Teachers' Digital Competence in Early Childhood and Primary Education: A Systematic Review of the Literature [Review of *Pre-Service Teachers' Digital Competence in Early Childhood and Primary Education: A Systematic Review of the Literature*]. *Revista Interuniversitaria de Formación Del Profesorado Continuación de La Antigua Revista de Escuelas Normales*, *39*(2), 147. University of Murcia. https://doi.org/10.47553/rifop.v39i2.107966

Allahyari, R., Abbasabady, M. M., Akhter, S., & Alibakhshi, G. (2023). EFL teachers' cognition of social and psychological consequences of high-stake national language tests: role of teacher training workshops. *Language Testing in Asia*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-023-00262-0

Alonzo, D., Leverett, J., & Obsioma, E. (2021). Leading an Assessment Reform: Ensuring a Whole-School Approach for Decision-Making. *Frontiers in Education*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.631857

Alonzo, D., Quimno, V., Townend, G., & Oo, C. Z. (2024). Using information and communication technology (ICT)-based data systems to support teacher data-driven decision-making: Insights from the literature (2013–2023). *Educational Assessment Evaluation and Accountability*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-024-09443-8

Alonzo, D., & Teng, S. (2023). Trustworthiness of Teacher Assessment and Decision-Making: Reframing the Consistency and Accuracy Measures. *International Journal of Instruction*, *16*(3), 1075. https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2023.16357a

Angwaomaodoko, E. A. (2023). An appraisal on the Role of Technology in Modern Education, Opportunities and Challenges. *Path of Science*, *9*(12), 3019. https://doi.org/10.22178/pos.99-4

Ayala-Pazmiño, M. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in Education: Exploring the Potential Benefits and Risks. *593 Digital Publisher CEIT*, *8*(3), 892. https://doi.org/10.33386/593dp.2023.3.1827

Bankole, H. B., & Ayanwale, M. A. (2025). Digital assessments boost science attitudes and sustainable education. *Discover Sustainability*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-01963-7

Bjælde, O. E., Lindberg, A. B., Campbell, M., Willems, J., Adachi, C., Blake, D., Doherty, I., Krishnan, S., Macfarlane, S., Ngo, L., O'Donnell, M., Palmer, S., Riddell, L., Story, I., Suri, H., & Tai, J. (2018). Using continuous assessment with feedback loops to generate useful data for learning analytics. *ASCILITE Publications*, 53. https://doi.org/10.14742/apubs.2018.1928

Børte, K., Lillejord, S., Chan, J., Wasson, B., & Greiff, S. (2023). Prerequisites for teachers' technology use in formative assessment practices: A systematic review [Review of *Prerequisites for teachers' technology use in formative assessment practices: A systematic review*]. *Educational Research Review*, *41*, 100568. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2023.100568

Bui, T. H. (2022). A Review of Language Testing and Assessment in Online Teaching [Review of *A Review of Language Testing and Assessment in Online Teaching*]. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, *12*(4), 54. Canadian Center of Science and Education. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v12n4p54

Bulut, O., Beiting-Parrish, M., Casabianca, J. M., Slater, S. C., Jiao, H., Song, D., Ormerod, C. M., Fabiyi, D. G., Ivan, R., Walsh, C., Rios, O., Wilson, J. M., Yildirim-Erbasli, S. N., Wongvorachan, T., Liu, J. X., Tan, B., & Morilova, P. (2024). The Rise of Artificial Intelligence in Educational Measurement: Opportunities and Ethical Challenges. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2406.18900

Cao, J., Bhuvaneswari, G., Arumugam, T., & Aravind, B. R. (2023). The digital edge: examining the relationship between digital competency and language learning outcomes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1187909

Celeste, R. J., & Osias, N. (2024). Challenges and Implementation of Technology Integration: Basis for Enhanced Instructional Program. *American Journal of Arts and Human Science*, *3*(2), 106. https://doi.org/10.54536/ajahs.v3i2.2656

Chinta, S. V., Wang, Z., Yin, Z., Hoang, N., Gonzalez, M., Quy, T. L., & Zhang, W. (2024). *FairAIED: Navigating Fairness, Bias, and Ethics in Educational AI Applications*. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2407.18745

Ciccone, M. (2023). Algorithmic Literacies: K–12 Realities and Possibilities. In *The MIT Press eBooks* (p. 15). The MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/13654.003.0005

Cipriano, G., & Martins, S. da C. (2024). Introducing technologies into national large-scale testing: Are we ready? *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, *32*. https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.32.8538

Cipriano, G., & Martins, S. da C. (2025). Examining the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and professional development on teachers' grade repetition beliefs and practice. *Educational Research for Policy and Practice*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10671-025-09403-z

Costa, M. L. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Data Literacy in Rural Schools' Teaching Practices: Knowledge, Use, and Challenges. *Education Sciences*, *15*(3), 352. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15030352

Cowie, B., Edwards, F., & Trask, S. (2021). Explicating the Value of Standardized Educational Achievement Data and a Protocol for Collaborative Analysis of This Data. *Frontiers in Education*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.619319

Cubio, M. J. V. (2025). The Influence of Privacy, Bias, and Surveillance Concerns on Teachers' Willingness to Use Artificial Intelligence in Education. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 3192. https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2025.903sedu0240

Cui, Y., & Hai, Z. (2022). Integrating teacher data literacy with TPACK: A self-report study based on a novel framework for teachers' professional development. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.966575

Daher, R. F. (2025). Integrating AI literacy into teacher education: a critical perspective paper. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, *5*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-025-00475-7

DiCerbo, K. E. (2020). Assessment for Learning with Diverse Learners in a Digital World. *Educational Measurement Issues and Practice*, *39*(3), 90. https://doi.org/10.1111/emip.12374

Dodman, S., DeMulder, E. K., View, J. L., Stribling, S. M., & Brusseau, R. (2023). "I knew it was a problem before, but did I really?": Engaging teachers in data use for equity. *Journal of Educational Change*, *24*(4), 995. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-022-09477-z

Du, K., Geiger, J., Killen, T., Porta, A. E., & O'Brien, T. (2024). The Paradigm Shift in Education in Big Data Era: Exploring the Intersection of Historical Assessment Frameworks and AI-Powered Assessment Methods in Education. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, *12*(2), 22. https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v12i2.6582

Eden, C. A., Chisom, O. N., & Adeniyi, I. S. (2024). Integrating AI in education: Opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, *10*(2), 6. https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.10.2.0039

Ercikan, K., Asıl, M., & Grover, R. (2018). Digital divide: A critical context for digitally based assessments. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, *26*, 51. https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.26.3817

Estaiteyeh, M., & McQuirter, R. (2024). Generative or Degenerative?! Implications of AI Tools in Pre-Service Teacher Education and Reflections on Instructors' Professional Development. *Brock Education Journal*, *33*(3). https://doi.org/10.26522/brocked.v33i3.1176

Estaji, M. (2024a). Perceived need for a teacher education course on assessment literacy development: insights from EAP instructors. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-024-00272-2

Estaji, M. (2024b). Unveiling the impact of language assessment teacher education on EFL teachers' assessment agency and professional development trajectory: perceptions,

opportunities, and challenges. *Language Testing in Asia*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-024-00292-2

Fleur, J. L., & Dlamini, R. (2022). Towards learner-centric pedagogies: Technology-enhanced teaching and learning in the 21st century classroom. *Journal of Education*, *88*, 1. https://doi.org/10.17159/2520-9868/i88a01

Fraidan, A. A., & Alaliwi, M. (2024). Digital Transformation for Sustainable English Language Learning: Insights from Saudi Arabia and Global Perspectives. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, *6*(6), 439. https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v6i6.7754

Fraidan, Prof. A. A. (2024). Anticipatory Thinking and AI-Driven Assessments: A Balanced Approach to AI Integration in Education Aligned with Saudi Vision 2030. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, 619. https://doi.org/10.53555/ajbr.v27i3.2560

Giraldo, F. (2021a). A Reflection on Initiatives for Teachers' Professional Development Through Language Assessment Literacy. *PROFILE Issues in Teachers Professional Development*, *23*(1), 197. https://doi.org/10.15446/profile.v23n1.83094

Giraldo, F. (2021b). Language Assessment Literacy and Teachers' Professional Development: A Review of the Literature [Review of *Language Assessment Literacy and Teachers' Professional Development: A Review of the Literature*]. *PROFILE Issues in Teachers Professional Development*, *23*(2), 265. National University of Colombia. https://doi.org/10.15446/profile.v23n2.90533

Giraldo, F. (2021c). Language Assessment Literacy: Insights for Educating English Language Teachers through Assessment. *HOW*, *28*(3), 78. https://doi.org/10.19183/how.28.3.673

Guruloo, T. N. M., & Osman, K. (2024). Beyond Pen and Paper: Understanding the Landscape of Digital Assessment in Stem Higher Education. In *Lecture notes in educational technology* (p. 177). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-6136-4_8

Hassan, G. (2023). Technology and The Transformation of Educational Practices: A Future Perspective. *International Journal of Economic Business Accounting Agriculture Management and Sharia Administration (IJEBAS)*, *3*(1), 1596. https://doi.org/10.54443/ijebas.v3i1.1136

Herrera, L., & Macías, D. F. (2015). A Call for Language Assessment Literacy in the Education and Development of English Language Teachers. *Colombian Applied Linguistics Journal*, *17*(2), 302. https://doi.org/10.14483/udistrital.jour.calj.2015.2.a09

Holmes, W., Iniesto, F., Anastopoulou, S., & Boticario, J. G. (2023). Stakeholder Perspectives on the Ethics of AI in Distance-Based Higher Education. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *24*(2), 96. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v24i2.6089

Hopfenbeck, T. N., Zhang, Z., Sun, S. Z., Robertson, P., & McGrane, J. A. (2023). Challenges and opportunities for classroom-based formative assessment and AI: a perspective article. *Frontiers in Education*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2023.1270700

Hull, P. S., & Vígh, T. (2024). Teachers' assessment literacy: A descriptive literature review. *The Hungarian Educational Research Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1556/063.2024.00317

Huynh, T.-N., Le, T.-T., Dang, B., An, B. T., Vu, C.-T., & Nguyen, A. (2025). Mindset matters: Fostering teachers' responsible AI use through professional development. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s44322-025-00043-y

Ifenthaler, D., Majumdar, R., Gorissen, P., Judge, M., Mishra, S., Raffaghelli, J. E., & Shimada, A. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Education: Implications for Policymakers,

Researchers, and Practitioners. *Technology Knowledge and Learning*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-024-09747-0

Indayani, W. R., Asari, S., & Maruf, N. (2023). Examining Teachers' Perceptions And Attitudes Towards The Integration Of Technology In Assessment Practices. *English Review Journal of English Education*, *11*(3), 735. https://doi.org/10.25134/erjee.v11i3.8817

Insani, A. N. P., Aprilia, P. K., & Mistar, J. (2024). Challenges and Opportunities: A Qualitative Study of EFL Teachers' Digital Proficiency and its Impact on Interactive Language Assessment. *Voices of English Language Education Society*, *8*(1), 43. https://doi.org/10.29408/veles.v8i1.25095

Karadağ, R., & Koyuncu, İ. (2025). Development and validation of digital assessment literacy self-efficacy scale. *International Journal of Assessment Tools in Education*, *12*(3), 806. https://doi.org/10.21449/ijate.1606780

Karakaya, K., Alpat, M. F., Uçar, H., Karakaya, Ö., & Bozkurt, A. (2025). Preparing teachers for the algorithmic educational landscape: A critical mapping of generative AI integration in language teacher education. *Technology in Language Teaching & Learning*, *7*(2), 102841. https://doi.org/10.29140/tltl.v7n2.102841

Karamifar, B., Germain-Rutherford, A., Heiser, S., Emke, M., Hopkins, J., Ernest, P., Stickler, U., & Hampel, R. (2019). Language Teachers and Their Trajectories Across Technology-Enhanced Language Teaching: Needs and Beliefs of ESL/EFL Teachers. *TESL Canada Journal*, *36*(3), 55. https://doi.org/10.18806/tesl.v36i3.1321

Kerneža, M., & Zemljak, D. (2023). Science Teachers' Approach To Contemporary Assessment With A Reading Literacy Emphasis. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, *22*(5), 851. https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/23.22.851

Ketkumbonk, A., Sukying, A., & Min, C. (2025). From Theory to Practice in Language Assessment: Perspectives From Thai Preservice English Teachers. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, *15*(9), 3136. https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.1509.36

Khan, A., Hassan, N., & Cheng, L. (2025). Investigating the contextual factors mediating washback effects of a learning-oriented English language assessment in Malaysia. *Language Testing in Asia*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-025-00359-8

Khateeb, A. A. (2023). Uncovering Digital Competence in Language Instruction: A Study of Pre-Service English Teachers in Saudi Arabi. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, *14*(6), 1492. https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.1406.07

Khosravi, H., Sadiq, S., & Amer-Yahia, S. (2023). *Data management of AI-powered education technologies: Challenges and opportunities*. https://doi.org/10.59453//xlud7002

Koh, K. (2023). Designing Culturally Responsive Online Assessments for Equity-Deserving Students. *Cultural and Pedagogical Inquiry*, *15*(1), 71. https://doi.org/10.18733/cpi29712

Koh, K., Lock, J., & DePass, C. (2023). CPI Special Issue: "All That Glitters is Not Gold: Culturally Responsive Online Assessment and Pedagogy in Uncertain Times." *Cultural and Pedagogical Inquiry*, *15*(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.18733/cpi29704

Lademann, J., Henze, J., Honke, N., Wollny, C., & Becker-Genschow, S. (2025). Teacher training in the age of AI: Impact on AI Literacy and Teachers' Attitudes. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. http://arxiv.org/abs/2507.03011

Latif, M. W. (2021). Exploring tertiary EFL practitioners' knowledge base component of assessment literacy: implications for teacher professional development. *Language Testing in Asia*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-021-00130-9

Lee, J., Alonzo, D., Beswick, K., Abril, J. M. V., Chew, A. W., & Oo, C. Z. (2024). Dimensions of teachers' data literacy: A systematic review of literature from 1990 to 2021 [Review of *Dimensions of teachers' data literacy: A systematic review of literature from 1990 to 2021*]. *Educational Assessment Evaluation and Accountability*, *36*(2), 145. Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-024-09435-8

Lei, W., & Lei, Z. (2025). Exploring EFL teachers' beliefs and practices of formative assessment in Chinese context. *PLoS ONE*, *20*(9). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0333678

Leibur, T., & Saks, K. (2025). Leveraging learning analytics to support teachers' professional development: insights from a digital application. *Frontiers in Education*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1639217

Letina, A., Škugor, A., & Tomaš, S. (2025). Teachers' Perceptions and Practices of Assessment in Primary Schools. *European Journal of Educational Research*, *14*(4), 1105. https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.14.4.1105

Meletiou-Mavrotheris, M., Bakogianni, D., Danidou, Y., Paparistodemou, E., & Kofteros, A. (2025). Investigating Student Teacher Engagement with Data-Driven AI and Ethical Reasoning in a Graduate-Level Education Course. *Education Sciences*, *15*(9), 1179. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15091179

Michos, K., Schmitz, M.-L., & Petko, D. (2023). Teachers' data literacy for learning analytics: a central predictor for digital data use in upper secondary schools. *Education and Information Technologies*, *28*(11), 14453. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11772-y

Miller, W. T. (2024). Adapting to AI: Reimagining the Role of Assessment Professionals. *Intersection A Journal at the Intersection of Assessment and Learning*, *5*(4). https://doi.org/10.61669/001c.121439

Mouta, A., Sánchez, E. M. T., & Llorente, A. M. P. (2024). Comprehensive professional learning for teacher agency in addressing ethical challenges of AIED: Insights from educational design research. *Education and Information Technologies*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12946-y

Neumann, M. M., Anthony, J. L., Erazo, N. A., & Neumann, D. L. (2019). Assessment and Technology: Mapping Future Directions in the Early Childhood Classroom. *Frontiers in Education*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2019.00116

Ng, D. T. K., Leung, J. K. L., Su, J., Ng, C. W., & Chu, S. K. W. (2023). Teachers' AI digital competencies and twenty-first century skills in the post-pandemic world. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *71*(1), 137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10203-6

Nuankaew, P., Nasa-Ngium, P., & Nuankaew, W. S. (2025). Artificial intelligence and educational data mining technologies for the 4th SDG quality education: A systematic review [Review of *Artificial intelligence and educational data mining technologies for the 4th SDG quality education: A systematic review*]. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, *8*(3), 4217. https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i3.7468

Ofem, U. J., Orim, F. S., Edam-Agbor, I. B., Amanso, E. O. I., Eni, E., Ukatu, J. O., Ovat, S. V., Osang, A. W., Dien, C. A., & Abuo, C. B. (2025). Teachers' preparedness for the utilization of artificial intelligence in classroom assessment: the contributory effects of attitude toward technology, technological readiness, and pedagogical beliefs with perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness as mediators. *Frontiers in Education*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1568306

Pastore, S. (2023). Teacher assessment literacy: a systematic review [Review of *Teacher assessment literacy: a systematic review*]. *Frontiers in Education*, *8*. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2023.1217167

Pimentel, A. Jr. (2024). Unveiling the Barriers to Digital Transformation in Higher Education Institutions: A Systematic Literature Review. *Research Square (Research Square)*. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4970233/v1

Preface. (2023). In *Bogucki Wydawnictwo Naukowe eBooks* (p. 4). Bogucki Wydawnictwo Naukowe. https://doi.org/10.12657/9788379863761-1

Qadar, R., Syam, M., & Mahdiannur, M. A. (2025). Analyzing high school physics teachers' understanding of cognitive process and knowledge dimensions in assessment design using the revised Bloom's taxonomy. *Discover Education*, *4*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00807-w

Ramanujam, P., & Ismail, H. H. (2024). *The Realities of Roblox and Metaverse Technologies and Emerging Potential Enhancing English Language Learning*.

Rasyid, M. N. A., Alfian, A., Djamereng, J., & Nurwahida, N. (2023). English language assessment in Indonesian senior high schools: Perceived challenges and prospective solutions. *Journal on English as a Foreign Language*, *14*(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.23971/jefl.v14i1.6963

Raynault, A., Heilporn, G., Mascarenhas, A., & Denis, C. (2022). Teaching Experiences of E-Authentic Assessment: Lessons Learned in Higher Education. *Journal of Teaching and Learning with Technology*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.14434/jotlt.v11i1.34594

Rütti-Joy, O., Winder, G., & Biedermann, H. (2023). Building AI Literacy for Sustainable Teacher Education. *Zeitschrift Für Hochschulentwicklung*, *18*(4), 175. https://doi.org/10.21240/zfhe/18-04/10

Rütti-Joy, O., Winder, G., & Biedermann, H. (2024). Teacher Educator Professionalism in the Age of AI: Navigating the new Landscape of Quality Education. In *Artificial intelligence*. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1005030

Sandoval-Ríos, F., Gajardo-Poblete, C., & Núñez, J. A. L. (2025). Role of data literacy training for decision-making in teaching practice: a systematic review [Review of *Role of data literacy training for decision-making in teaching practice: a systematic review*]. *Frontiers in Education*, *10*. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1485821

Sandvik, L. V., & Emstad, A. B. (2019). Realizing data-driven changes and teacher agency in upper secondary schools through formative interventions. In *Routledge eBooks* (p. 91). Informa. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429316838-7

Saud, D. S., & Laudari, S. (2023). English Language Teachers' Experiences of Using ICT in ELT. *Journal of NELTA*, *28*(1), 151. https://doi.org/10.3126/nelta.v28i1.61800

Shaghayegh, S., & Ali, D., Mansoor. (2025). AI Literacy in Education: Balancing Innovation, Ethics, and Equity in the Digital Age. *OICC Press Journals*. https://doi.org/10.57647/jntell.2025.si-02

Skedsmo, G., & Huber, S. (2024). Enhancing feedback practices and formative assessment in education: insights and challenges from diverse contexts. *Educational Assessment Evaluation and Accountability*, *36*(2), 141. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-024-09436-7

Stephenson, B., & Harvey, A. (2022). Student Equity in the Age of AI-Enabled Assessment. In *Routledge eBooks* (p. 120). Informa. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003293101-14

Suherman, A. (2022). Exploring Language Assessment Literacy Of Efl Teachers In The Context Of Indonesian Higher Education. *English Review Journal of English Education*, *10*(3), 783. https://doi.org/10.25134/erjee.v10i3.6525

Tammets, K., & Ley, T. (2023). Integrating AI tools in teacher professional learning: a conceptual model and illustrative case. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2023.1255089

Tan, M. J. T., & Maravilla, N. M. A. T. (2024). Unpopular Opinion: Generative Artificial Intelligence Is Not Eroding Academic Integrity. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2407.19088

Tan, Q. (2025). Reimagining teacher development in the era of generative AI: A scoping review [Review of *Reimagining teacher development in the era of generative AI: A scoping review*]. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *168*, 105236. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2025.105236

Tenberga, I., & Daniela, L. (2024). Artificial Intelligence Literacy Competencies for Teachers Through Self-Assessment Tools. *Sustainability*, *16*(23), 10386. https://doi.org/10.3390/su162310386

Trevisan, O., Christensen, R., Drossel, K., Friesen, S., Forkosh-Baruch, A., & Phillips, M. (2024). Drivers of Digital Realities for Ongoing Teacher Professional Learning. *Technology Knowledge and Learning*, *29*(4), 1851. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-024-09771-0

Umeji, I. C. (2024). Digital assessment and evaluation practices in teacher education: A study of institutions offering teacher education programs in Anambra state. *Forum for Education Studies.*, *2*(3), 1595. https://doi.org/10.59400/fes.v2i3.1595

West, D., Huijser, H., & Heath, D. (2018). Learning Analytics in the Classroom. In *Routledge eBooks*. Informa. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351113038

Will, K. K., McConnell, S. R., Elmquist, M., Lease, E. M., & Wackerle-Hollman, A. (2019). Meeting in the Middle: Future Directions for Researchers to Support Educators' Assessment Literacy and Data-Based Decision Making. *Frontiers in Education*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2019.00106

Xia, Q., Weng, X., Ouyang, F., Lin, T., & Chiu, T. K. F. (2024). A scoping review on how generative artificial intelligence transforms assessment in higher education [Review of *A scoping review on how generative artificial intelligence transforms assessment in higher education*]. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *21*(1). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00468-z

Xiao, R., Xiao, Q., Hou, X., Moletsane, P. P., Li, H., Shen, H., & Stamper, J. (2025). Do Teachers Dream of GenAI Widening Educational (In)equality? Envisioning the Future of K-12 GenAI Education from Global Teachers' Perspectives. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2509.10782

Yeşilçınar, S. (2024). Preservice Teachers' Views On Online Language Assessment. *Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli Üniversitesi SBE Dergisi*. https://doi.org/10.30783/nevsosbilen.1524449

Zakaria, Z., Wahid, N. T. Ab., & Latif, A. A. (2023). Data Literacy Competencies for Informed Classroom Assessment Practice: Challenges and Measures. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, *12*(3). https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarped/v12-i3/19320

Zou, Y. L., Kuek, F., Feng, W., & Cheng, X. (2025). Digital learning in the 21st century: trends, challenges, and innovations in technology integration. *Frontiers in Education*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1562391