



Strategies for Resolving Social Conflict in the Labor Collective

Zeynaddin Shabanov¹, Uzeyir Shafiyev², Kamran Abdullayev^{3*}, Musennif Shabanov⁴,
Faig Aliyev⁵

¹ Institute of Philosophy and Sociology of the Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences. Azerbaijan

² Baku State University. Azerbaijan

³ Institute of Economics of the Ministry of Science and Education. Azerbaijan

⁴ Azerbaijan State University of Economics. Azerbaijan

⁵ Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University. Azerbaijan

ARTICLE INFO

Received Sep 26, 2025
Accepted Dec 25, 2025
Published Dec 26, 2025

Keywords:

Social Conflict; Labor
Collective; Organizational
Behavior; Conflict Resolution;
Social Partnership

ABSTRACT

Social conflict within labor collectives represents a recurrent phenomenon shaped by contradictions in interests, goals, and authority relations. Drawing on classical and contemporary sociological perspectives, this study examines how conflicts emerge, evolve, and can be effectively resolved in modern enterprises. This research employs a qualitative, literature-based approach through comprehensive analysis of theoretical writings by Parsons, Coser, Dahrendorf, Simmel, and Boulding, complemented by documented organizational studies such as the Hawthorne experiments. The method emphasizes conceptual interpretation, comparative theoretical analysis, and synthesis of conflict dynamics. Findings show that conflicts originate from both structural and psychological factors, including material dissatisfaction, violations of labor norms, personality differences, and unequal authority relations. Interpersonal, intragroup, and intergroup conflicts follow identifiable stages—from latent tensions to escalation and resolution. Trade unions play a critical role in mediating disputes and promoting social partnership. Theoretical convergence indicates that conflict is multifactorial and inherent within organizational systems. When constructively managed, conflict can enhance group cohesion, clarify values, and contribute to organizational development. This highlights the necessity of socio-psychological awareness in modern management.

How to cite: Shabanov, Z., Shafiyev, U., Abdullayev, K., Shabanov, M., & Aliyev, F. (2025). Strategies for Resolving Social Conflict in the Labor Collective. *SocioHumania: Journal of Social Humanities Studies*, 2(2), 100–128. <https://doi.org/10.70063/sociohumania.v2i2.123>



This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons 4.0 Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ©2023 by author.

* Corresponding author: xazarbaki@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

In modern times, relationships between people in workplaces and labor collectives are often strained due to conflicts. Social conflicts in an enterprise are a clash of controversial ideas, goals, interests, or positions of two or more parties or interacting entities. When studying social conflicts, their functions, types, and methods of resolution, a new field emerges - conflictology. This interdisciplinary field systematically examines the causes, dynamics, and

potential resolutions of disputes arising within organizational settings, particularly within the context of labor relations (Semenchenko et al., 2021). The relevance of addressing such conflicts has intensified, particularly given the rapid global changes and emerging threats that continuously reshape employee interaction and communication paradigms, necessitating innovative management approaches (Pienov et al., 2024).

Effective preventive management of employee conflicts is demonstrably more efficient than consistently engaging in reactive problem-solving (Pienov et al., 2024). Forecasting and preventing potential conflicts are therefore crucial steps for organizations aiming to maintain workplace harmony and productivity. This proactive stance underscores the importance of understanding the multifaceted nature of workplace disputes, which often stem from divergent values, needs, or perceived opposition among individuals. A labor conflict, specifically, manifests as a collision of interests and opinions among different groups concerning employment relationships (Pienov et al., 2024). Such conflicts significantly impact the overall social and labor relations within an organization, highlighting the critical need for robust conflict management strategies.

The human resources department plays a pivotal role in this process, as their expertise in managing these complex interactions is essential for cultivating a cooperative and efficient work environment (Pienov et al., 2024). Moreover, understanding and implementing modern technologies for conflict resolution, focusing on constructive participation from all parties, is vital for resolving existing conflicts and preventing future ones (Dublenkova et al., 2022). This paper seeks to delve into the various methods and strategies employed for the effective resolution of social conflicts within the labor collective, thereby contributing to a more stable and productive work environment. This endeavor necessitates an exploration of both theoretical frameworks underpinning conflict resolution and practical applications of these principles within diverse organizational contexts, recognizing that well-managed human resources are fundamental to sustained competitiveness and operational efficiency (Lee & Jo, 2023).

The main criterion for studying the content and essence of social conflicts is the study of the causes of conflicts. Social conflicts are not only the product of objective reasons, but also the product of the psychology of the conflict parties. The main reason for the emergence of the conflict is the social contradictions that arise between the conflict parties. The first step in resolving social conflicts begins with clarifying the cause of the conflict that has arisen. Therefore, if the objective cause of conflicts is not distinguished from its pretext, a number of problems arise in resolving the issue. This distinction is crucial because addressing the superficial pretext rather than the underlying objective causes can lead to recurring conflicts or even exacerbate existing tensions within the labor collective (Semenchenko et al., 2021).

RESEARCH METHOD

The research presented in the article is developed through a qualitative and theoretical approach that relies on an extensive review of classical and contemporary literature on social conflict within labor collectives. The authors construct their analysis by examining foundational theories proposed by scholars such as T. Parsons, L. Coser, R. Dahrendorf, G. Simmel, and K. Boulding, whose conceptualizations form the philosophical and sociological basis for understanding conflict in modern enterprises. The study involves an interpretative reading of their works as cited in Shafi (2002), Nechiporenko (1982), Zaprudsky (1992), and

other referenced sources, to identify how conflict arises, how it evolves, and how it may be resolved (Shafi, 2002; Nechiporenko, 1982; Zaprudsky, 1992)

This method includes analyzing documented experiments and empirical observations such as the Hawthorne studies (Tsvetaev, 2016), which illuminate the formation of group norms and the psychological grounds upon which conflicts emerge. The article also evaluates explanations from management and organizational scholars including Moberg (2001), Genc (2015), Bagirova (2021), and Aliyev (1999), allowing for a comparative understanding of the multiple factors shaping interpersonal, intragroup, and intergroup conflict (Moberg, 2001; Genc, 2015; Bagirova, 2021, and Aliyev, 1999). Through this interpretive synthesis, the research identifies the conceptual classifications of conflict, explores its dynamics from latent stages to escalation and resolution, and highlights the socio-psychological elements that influence conflict behavior.

The method used in the article thus centers on interpreting written sources, comparing theoretical models, and synthesizing concepts found in prior conflict studies. By grounding the analysis in established literature and documented theoretical perspectives, the study constructs a comprehensive framework for understanding and assessing social conflicts in labor collectives without relying on numerical data or statistical instruments. The approach reflects the article's intent to provide a deep theoretical foundation for conflict resolution grounded in sociological, psychological, and organizational theory.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Determinants and Characteristics of Conflicts

A profound understanding of the determinants and characteristics of conflicts is crucial for developing effective resolution strategies, encompassing both the objective conditions and the subjective perceptions that contribute to their emergence. This includes recognizing the inherent contradictions within social interactions, where opposing interests or activities of different social actors can manifest as perceived disagreements. These disagreements, which are often amplified in complex organizational structures with differentiated subsystems, can escalate into full-blown conflicts, making intergroup relations challenging (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). Such conflicts can arise from differing opinions, competition, negative perceptions, unclear role expectations, or communication gaps, underscoring the multifaceted nature of their origins (Adham, 2023). Organizational conflicts, specifically, can be categorized into intrapersonal, intragroup, and intergroup conflicts, each stemming from a unique interplay of personal, cultural, and structural factors within an organization (Rahim & Bonoma, 1979).

Conflicts in labor collectives arise from material dissatisfaction, violation of subordination, unmet contractual expectations, and lack of motivation. Psychological factors such as personality differences, aggression, and poor communication also play significant roles (Moberg, 2001; Nechiporenko, 1982). Conflictogens—words or actions provoking conflict—are key triggers in interpersonal disputes. These conflictogens can transform minor disagreements into entrenched disputes, necessitating effective communication strategies to de-escalate tensions and foster understanding among employees (Lepeyko & Назаров, 2021). The identification of these "conflict" elements is crucial for diagnosing the underlying issues within the organizational environment and proposing appropriate resolution methodologies (Shakirova et al., 2021). Indeed, understanding these elements is critical for human resources

departments to develop targeted interventions that address both the overt manifestations and the subtle psychological underpinnings of workplace conflicts (Lepeyko & Hазаров, 2021).

Recognizing these factors, therefore, necessitates a strategic approach to conflict resolution that integrates psychological insight with organizational policy, thereby transforming potential discord into opportunities for growth and cohesion (Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025; Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). Furthermore, addressing these determinants effectively requires a nuanced approach that considers the specific context of each conflict, as resolution strategies that are successful in one situation may not be applicable in another due to varying cultural, organizational, and individual dynamics (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024). Therefore, understanding the nuances of how conflicts are managed is paramount, as the approach taken significantly influences whether conflict leads to constructive outcomes or detrimental interpersonal strife (Glickman, 1981; Murerwa & Guantai, 2019). Understanding the various causes of workplace conflict, such as differing ideologies, poor communication, competition for resources, or unmet expectations, is foundational for developing effective intervention strategies (Alam, 2024).

These strategies must transcend simple mediation to encompass systemic changes that promote transparent communication, equitable resource distribution, and clear articulation of organizational expectations to mitigate future conflicts (Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025; Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024). Effective conflict management not only addresses immediate disputes but also cultivates an environment where potential disagreements are minimized through proactive measures and robust organizational structures (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024). Furthermore, adopting a collaborative problem-solving process can help clarify underlying issues, generate multiple solutions, and foster mutual gain, even when deep-seated disagreements exist (Reid & Lienemann, n.d.). This approach recognizes that conflict, when managed effectively, can be a catalyst for innovation and improved decision-making rather than solely a destructive force (Glickman, 1981). In fact, successful groups often exhibit considerable conflict, as it is through the generation and consideration of diverse information and ideas that wise decisions are made, suggesting that leaders should encourage, rather than suppress, disagreement.

However, it is crucial that this encouragement remains focused on the intellectual exchange of ideas rather than devolving into personal attacks, as the manner in which leaders deal with conflict ultimately determines its value to the organization (Glickman, 1981). This approach ensures that conflict serves as a constructive force, fostering critical evaluation and robust debate essential for organizational growth and enhanced decision-making. However, not all conflicts are productive; many can be destructive, leading to decreased morale, reduced productivity, and increased turnover if not managed appropriately (Hyde & Couser, 2024). Therefore, the adept management of these disputes is paramount for maintaining a healthy and productive work environment, underscoring the critical role of leadership in navigating interpersonal dynamics within the collective (Glickman, 1981).

Ultimately, the objective is not to eliminate conflict entirely, but to manage it in a way that catalyzes positive change and strengthens team cohesion (Glickman, 1981). This perspective views conflict as an inherent, and often beneficial, aspect of organizational life that can spur innovation and facilitate better decision-making when properly channelled and mediated (Glickman, 1981). Indeed, effectively managed conflict can transform into a collaborative search for solutions to issues, fostering a commitment to decision-making and broadening understanding (Olorunfemi et al., 2024). By addressing disagreements constructively, organizations can convert potential threats into opportunities for developing

resilient team dynamics and fostering a culture of continuous improvement ([Hosseinzadeh, 2024](#); [Choi & Yang, 2024](#)).

Theoretical Foundations

1. Parsons

Parsons explains that conflict arises from the tension between individuals' internal needs and the social norms regulating their behavior. He conceptualizes society as a system striving for order through unifying rules and values. Yet, socialization processes do not always align with personal interests, fostering contradictions that precipitate conflict—especially in dynamic organizational settings. Understanding these tensions is thus essential for crafting strategies that align individual aspirations with collective goals, averting disruptive clashes ([Hyatt & Gruenglas, 2023](#)). This lens reveals conflict not as an isolated event, but as an emergent feature of complex social systems ([Kolb, 1987](#)). Parsons' framework further posits that social structures can unwittingly breed conflict through asymmetries in power, resources, or status among labor collective groups ([Samuel, 2018](#)).

Rectifying such imbalances demands a multifaceted strategy blending individual interventions with systemic reforms to cultivate equity and cooperation ([Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024](#)). Central to this is Parsons' emphasis on shared values as the bedrock of organizational integration: a unified system of institutionalized values creates a common culture vital for collective action. When these values erode or face contestation, conflicts emerge, requiring sturdy resolution mechanisms to bolster shared aims and stability.

In contrast, an organization's differentiated subsystems often harbor clashing interests and goals, underscoring the need for integrative mechanisms to address ensuing conflicts ([Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967](#)). This highlights the perennial challenge of reconciling specialized roles with overarching coherence, demanding nuanced conflict management to span internal rifts. Parsons' four-function paradigm—adaptation, goal attainment, integration, and latent pattern maintenance—offers a lens for examining how social systems navigate internal and external strains, including conflict, to sustain functionality ([Gonzales, 2021](#)). Yet organizations, as artificial constructs, embed inherent conflict sources by design ([Harney, 2019](#)).

Such conflicts stem from clashing individual and organizational values, prevalent where personal ambitions collide with managerial directives ([Azcárate, 2012](#)). Compounding this is the friction between personal autonomy and institutional oversight, surfacing in disputes over workflows, resources, and metrics ([E., 2008](#); [Saunders et al., 1996](#)). Rooted in organizational structures, these tensions arise from authority wielding dominance over subordinates, risking exploitation and authority erosion ([Chesler et al., 1979](#)). Power imbalances, allied with diverse perceptions and values, fuel pronounced intergroup strife—especially across subunits like departments or teams, each bearing unique cultures and priorities ([Cameron & Quinn, 2006](#); [Maeda, 2023](#)).

Effectively tackling intergroup conflicts thus requires strategies that recognize and span cultural-operational gaps, promoting unity. Even in purpose-driven organizations, subunit intent disparities spawn interest or priority clashes, as one group's gains harm another's ([Ackroyd, 2009](#)). These rifts trace to humanity's core diversity: varied, often conflicting values, beliefs, attitudes, and practices imported into workplaces ([Rossi & Tuurnas, 2019](#)). Mastering this diversity is therefore crucial for nurturing productive, harmonious labor collectives.

2. Coser

Coser emphasizes the positive functions of conflict, arguing that it can strengthen group cohesion by clarifying social boundaries, increasing internal solidarity, and compelling groups to adapt for stability. Thus, conflict should not be viewed solely as destructive but as a constructive social mechanism that enables adjustment and evolution. He posits that it serves an important communicative function, allowing the expression of grievances and clarification of divergent interests, ultimately contributing to social change and adaptation (Nasution, 2017). Moreover, Coser integrates structural functionalism by recognizing that conflict can both emerge from and contribute to maintaining social structures, acting as a "safety valve" to channel hostilities constructively (Pababbari, 2020).

This perspective deviates from earlier theories that emphasized social structures as the primary agents of change, instead highlighting how individual expressions of discontent can prevent group dissolution and foster stable relations (Lashley, 2010). Coser's ideas align with the notion that conflict leads to new norms and rules as groups negotiate relationships post-disagreement (Staden, 1991). Furthermore, it strengthens group identity, defines boundaries, reinforces internal cohesion, and distinguishes the group from outsiders (Suprpto et al., 2020), while enabling re-evaluation of power dynamics and resource allocation for a more equitable social order (Nuridin, 2019).

Such a nuanced view implies that effective resolution strategies should manage rather than eradicate conflict, enabling positive outcomes like enhanced solidarity and adaptive change (Husemann et al., 2015). This requires cultivating environments where disagreements spur growth and innovation, building resilient labor collectives (Kung, 2017; Glickman, 1981). By channeling them into constructive dialogue and problem-solving, leaders transform disruptions into catalysts for stronger bonds and organizational flexibility (Glickman, 1981), recognizing conflict as a mechanism for communication, boundary clarification, and innovation (Gulowski & Weller, 2017).

However, suppressing conflict risks accumulating hostile feelings, escalating into intense disruptions (Hourani, 2019). Openly addressing it acts as a safety valve, averting destructive buildups through grievance expression and negotiation. Leadership thus guides disagreements toward productive ends, promoting learning and adaptation over fragmentation (Glickman, 1981). Fostering cultures that embrace conflict for critical assessment and innovation—rather than suppression—is vital for long-term vitality in labor collectives (Tjosvold, 2007). Robust mechanisms for open dialogue and mediation are essential to convert discord into collective growth and collaboration (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024).

Additionally, while overt conflict can disrupt, its absence may signal suppressed dissent or inadequate engagement, hindering adaptation and innovation (Adham, 2023). Actively managed conflict yields better decision-making and communication (Glickman, 1981) (Chaudhary & Arora, 2023), clarifying perspectives for robust solutions (Reid & Lienemann, n.d.). This aligns with "creative abrasion," where bounded conflict fosters innovation and improved practices (Sen, 2021). Indeed, conflict can clarify group identity and boundaries, preventing group members from leaving and mobilizing collective energies (Husemann et al., 2015). This perspective underscores that, when appropriately managed, conflict can actually be a beneficial force, promoting effective teamwork and facilitating innovation within organizations (Tjosvold, 2007; Husemann et al., 2015).

3. Dahrendorf

Dahrendorf regards conflict as an inherent element of social structures that are constantly undergoing change. Unequal authority relations naturally produce tensions that manifest as

conflict, making it not an anomaly but a necessary component of social development. This reflects shifts in power and interests among individuals and groups within organizations. He posits that understanding the distribution of authority within various associations is crucial for identifying conflict potential and its resolution, as these power dynamics drive societal change (Laluddin, 2016). Indeed, conflict is ubiquitous and inescapable, rooted in the inherent divisions of all imperatively coordinated associations (Staden, 1991).

Unlike earlier theorists like Marx, who emphasized economic disparities (Orsini, 2024), Dahrendorf highlights conflict arising from struggles for dominance and control within hierarchies (Nuridin, 2019). He views it as a fundamental aspect of social life, stemming from differing interests and authority exercise rather than purely economic determinism. Conflict thus catalyzes the formation of new social structures and power redistribution (Fauzi et al., 2021; Rauda et al., 2024). Once individuals recognize opposing interests' legitimacy, organize into groups, and agree on common "rules of the game," it can be regulated effectively, fostering stable social orders (N. & Lj., 2019).

The intensity and violence of conflict depend on factors like groups' recognition of shared interests and the convergence of political, social, and practical conditions within organizations (Fauzi et al., 2021). These include the degree of organization among conflict groups, grievance expression channels, and prevailing social-political climates (Staden, 1991). Societal structures—especially those of power and authority—generate conflict as a dynamic force for transformation (Fauzi et al., 2021; Sarwani et al., 2020), with change arising continuously from alterations in authority distribution within imperatively coordinated associations (Nasution, 2017; Fauzi et al., 2021).

Dahrendorf's framework thus stresses that inherent organizational power dynamics inevitably spark conflicts as groups vie for control and influence (Nuridin, 2019). Authority resides not in individuals but in social structural positions, making positional analysis key to understanding conflict (Ulum, 2024). He defines it as relations between individuals or groups involving incompatible objectives—encompassing contests, competitions, disputes, and tensions (Mayer & Dahrendorf, 1960)—extending beyond overt clashes to underlying disagreements and structural inequalities (Zuldin, 2019).

This aligns with his assertion that conflict is a normal, necessary part of social life, essential for societal evolution and power structure adjustment (Kühne et al., 2023; Hajaliyev, 2025). Broadening beyond Marx's economic class focus (Fauzi et al., 2021), Dahrendorf includes authority relations across organizations. Given numerous institutional orders that change dynamically with conflict intensity, a "third party" is needed to set binding resolution rules (Kolba & Chadayeva, 2021). Without such frameworks, conflicts escalate, driving major structural shifts and institutional reorganization (Fauzi et al., 2021). Ultimately, the antagonism between status quo maintenance and change—never fully resolved—is challenged through institutional-level conflicts that reshape power dynamics (Gulowski & Weller, 2017).

4. Simmel

Simmel offers a distinct perspective by associating conflict with basic human instincts, viewing it as a form of self-expression and identity affirmation. Although conflict may disrupt social relations, Simmel also sees it as integrative, binding opposing parties into a shared social process that fosters social cohesion and reinforces the stability of organizational and societal structures. He identifies factors such as hatred, jealousy, poverty, and desire as fundamental causes, enabling conflict to resolve contradictory dilemmas—even if it entails

the destruction of one party (Bingöl, 2022). This highlights conflict's dual nature: destructive yet capable of generating novel social forms and reaffirming group boundaries.

Moreover, Simmel posits that conflict clarifies identities and differences, with its dynamic interplay driving the evolution and differentiation of social structures (Bingöl, 2022). In contrast, Marx emphasized economic disparities and class struggles between the bourgeoisie and proletariat as the primary drivers of conflict, viewing economic inequality as the root of social problems and the engine of historical change (Fauzi et al., 2021; Ali et al., 2021; Karmutoğlu, 2024). Durkheim, meanwhile, focused on social solidarity and consensus, treating conflict as a pathology disrupting societal equilibrium (Orsini, 2024; KORUMAZ, 2019). Weber offered a multifaceted view, highlighting how power, status, and class intersect—often via rationalization and bureaucracy—to produce conflict (Saltık, 2024).

These classical perspectives collectively provide a robust foundation for analyzing social conflict in labor collectives, recognizing its structural, functional, and expressive dimensions beyond simplistic views (Staden, 1991; Orsini, 2024; Nurdin, 2019; Agunbiade, 2024). By integrating them, researchers and practitioners can develop proactive strategies addressing root causes like inequalities and power imbalances, fostering holistic conflict resolution in diverse organizational settings.

5. Boulding

Boulding presents a general theory of conflict, positing that conflicts follow predictable developmental patterns and evolve through distinct stages, each offering unique opportunities for intervention and resolution (Tejerina, 2024). He argues that many such conflicts can be resolved by understanding and managing the parties' tendencies, reactions, and values—without altering the overarching social structure. This behavioral focus underscores the value of analyzing specific contexts and dynamics to devise strategies that promote de-escalation and mutually beneficial outcomes, enabling conflict transformation through targeted changes in attitudes and perceptions rather than fundamental systemic overhauls.

Building on these insights, conflict theory emerged as a reaction to structural functionalism, proposing that conflict is a necessary aspect of human life and social change, often leading to positive transformations (Prayogi, 2023). Indeed, some scholars argue for its inherently positive functions, with certain Marxist adherents even positing that conflict is the sole necessary condition for societal progress (Ukka, 2020). Despite this, contemporary conflict theory has expanded beyond the initial Marxist focus on economic determinism to incorporate broader social inequalities as catalysts for conflict (Barkan, 2016). This evolution acknowledges that power imbalances and systemic oppression—encompassing aspects beyond economic class—are critical drivers of social unrest and movements for change (Iceland, 2025; Farha et al., 2022).

This broader interpretation enables a more comprehensive analysis of social conflict within labor collectives, accounting for diverse factors such as identity, recognition, and cultural differences alongside economic grievances. Moreover, modern conflict theories delve into the psychological underpinnings of conflict, recognizing that individual perceptions, biases, and emotional responses significantly shape how disputes emerge and are managed (Kriesberg, 1982). They also consider the role of communication breakdowns and differing interpretations of shared realities in exacerbating or mitigating disputes within organizational settings. For instance, the transformation of violent forms of conflict into legitimate ones

marks a critical phase in post-classical sociology, influenced profoundly by shifting macro-level conditions and the individualization of social life.

This shift highlights the increasing emphasis on understanding micro-level dynamics and subjective experiences of conflict participants, moving beyond purely structural or economic explanations (Mikkelsen & Clegg, 2018). Furthermore, contemporary conflict theory often incorporates elements of constructivism, recognizing that conflicts are not merely objective phenomena but are shaped by the social constructions and interpretations of those involved (López et al., 2022). This nuanced perspective underscores the performative nature of conflict, where disputants actively manage and navigate contradictory forces through their actions and interactions (Mikkelsen & Clegg, 2017). Ultimately, the development of conflict theory—from classical to contemporary approaches—reflects a continuous effort to comprehensively analyze its multifaceted nature, acknowledging its potential for both destructive and constructive outcomes.

Classifications of Conflict

1. Interpersonal Conflicts

These conflicts involve disputes between individuals, often stemming from personality clashes, differing communication styles, competition for resources, divergent values, or goals within the labor collective. If unaddressed through mediation or other resolution strategies, they lead to workplace tension and decreased productivity (Kriesberg, 1982). Situational factors—such as individual goals, anxiety, power dynamics, or differences in upbringing and orientation among staff—can trigger these disputes (Murerwa & Guantai, 2019), while perceptual biases, self-centeredness, and selective interpretation of events often exacerbate them through miscommunication and misinterpretation.

For example, a team member prioritizing efficiency might clash with a colleague favoring consensus, highlighting how differences in personality, values, and work styles contribute to such conflicts (Chaudhary & Arora, 2023). If unmanaged, they undermine team cohesion and organizational effectiveness. Within this realm, relationship conflicts arise from interpersonal incompatibilities like annoyance, animosity, or disagreements over values and personal norms, rooted in emotional responses that divert energy from tasks and harm performance (Munduate et al., 2022; Rossi & Tuurnas, 2019). In contrast, task conflicts—disagreements about task content and outcomes—can be beneficial for innovation if constructively managed to avoid escalating into personal attacks (Cain & Plessis, 2013; Egerová & Rotenbornová, 2021; Glickman, 1981). Process conflicts, meanwhile, focus on logistics like task delegation or scheduling and, if unresolved, reduce team effectiveness (Lappalainen, 2019). Organizational conflicts, often stemming from poorly defined roles, opaque decision-making, or perceived favoritism, further breed communication gaps and resentment (Hyatt & Gruenglas, 2023).

These classifications reveal the multi-layered nature of workplace disputes, emphasizing tailored interventions based on type and root causes (Adham, 2023; Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024). Task conflicts, for instance, enhance decision-making when idea-focused, while relationship conflicts—marked by emotional friction—typically erode performance and morale, though their harms lessen with transformational leadership, tenacity, and work passion (Adham, 2023; Robert, 2021). Successful groups even embrace moderate conflict to generate ideas for better decisions (Glickman, 1981). Yet excessive relationship or process conflicts impair effectiveness and satisfaction (Robinson, 2017).

Thus, distinguishing conflict types and impacts is essential for labor collectives, enabling targeted strategies that convert destructive disputes into constructive dialogues for cohesion and productivity (Chaudhary & Arora, 2023). Leaders should foster idea-centered disagreements while curbing destructive ones (Glickman, 1981), especially in complex, decision-oriented tasks where poor management stalls progress (Vinay et al., 2023). Moreover, conflicts can emerge during periods of significant organizational change, further complicating an already delicate equilibrium within the workforce (Hyatt & Gruenglas, 2023). Recognizing the intricate interplay of these conflict types is crucial for developing effective strategies to mitigate negative outcomes and harness the potential for positive organizational change.

2. Intragroup Conflicts

Intragroup conflicts, defined as perceived incompatibilities or differences among workgroup members, are increasingly prevalent in contemporary organizations due to the rising use of workgroups (Esbati & Korunka, 2021). These conflicts typically manifest in three primary forms—task, relationship, and process conflicts—each posing unique challenges to team cohesion and performance (Weerarathna, 2017). Task conflicts involve disagreements over task content and outcomes, which can paradoxically enhance group performance by stimulating critical discussion and innovation when managed appropriately (Jehn, 1997). However, poor management can cause them to escalate into personal attacks, diminishing team morale and productivity (Reid & Lienemann, n.d.). In contrast, relationship conflicts, rooted in interpersonal incompatibilities and emotional friction, consistently undermine team effectiveness by fostering animosity and distrust (Munduate et al., 2022). Similarly, process conflicts, which concern disagreements about logistics and resource allocation, tend to negatively impact morale and output (Malik et al., 2019).

Such conflicts arise from contradictions in needs, norms, values, interests, and perspectives among individuals within an organizational setting (Adham, 2023). While task conflicts can foster engagement and innovation, relationship conflicts often trigger negative affective reactions and turnover intentions (Suardi & Furinto, 2023). Thus, effective resolution strategies are essential for sustaining a productive and harmonious work environment (Ackroyd, 2009; Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024). Understanding these classifications is crucial for targeted interventions, as conflict resolution efficacy depends on accurately diagnosing the disagreement's nature within the labor collective (John-Eke & Akintokunbo, 2020; Michael & Mary, 2018).

For example, research indicates that process conflicts, despite initial challenges to group effectiveness, can stimulate critical thinking and creativity if handled constructively (Adham, 2023). Moreover, the dynamic interplay among these types significantly influences team outcomes, requiring a nuanced management approach that accounts for their interactions (Marr & Jameson, 2025). Effective resolution thus demands a thorough grasp of each conflict's causes and manifestations, enabling tailored strategies to promote collaboration and mitigate detrimental dynamics (Al, 2022; Michael & Mary, 2018). This complexity intensifies with status conflicts, which involve disputes over members' positions in the social hierarchy, often manifesting as direct challenges to leadership, subtle insubordination, or influence struggles that disrupt team coherence and productivity (Robert, 2021).

Differing ideologies, values, or problem-solving approaches, combined with poor communication, frequently exacerbate these issues (Alam, 2024). In collective settings with diverse stakeholders navigating complex power dynamics, conflict management becomes even more intricate (Munduate et al., 2022). Beyond simplistic solutions, a comprehensive,

adaptable approach is needed—one that addresses the specific disagreement type (ideas, personality, or resources) and transforms destructive disputes into constructive opportunities for growth and cohesion (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024; Chaudhary & Arora, 2023; Glickman, 1981).

Mishandling one conflict type can trigger or intensify others, creating cascading effects that destabilize the collective (Adham, 2023). For instance, unresolved process conflicts may evolve into relationship conflicts as procedural frustrations escalate into personal animosity, highlighting their interconnectedness (Adham, 2023). Researchers have also identified cognition conflicts, stemming from discrepancies in team members' knowledge representation and shared understanding, which affect information processing and decision-making (Marr & Jameson, 2025; Michael & Mary, 2018). Furthermore, collective conflicts, which are particularly challenging due to their multiparty nature and the emergence of coalitions, require a sophisticated approach to mediation and resolution (Munduate et al., 2022).

3. Intergroup Conflicts

Intergroup conflicts, occurring between different teams, departments, or other organizational units, present distinct challenges often rooted in competing goals, resource scarcity, and divergent priorities (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). These disputes frequently arise from competition for limited resources, differing strategic objectives, or historical rivalries, leading to fractured communication channels and reduced organizational synergy (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). They often manifest as power struggles or communication breakdowns that hinder interdepartmental collaboration and overall organizational effectiveness.

Addressing these tensions requires strategies that promote shared goals, facilitate cross-functional understanding, and establish equitable resource allocation mechanisms to foster a more cohesive and productive work environment. Moreover, understanding underlying causes—such as organizational issues, differing personality traits, or competing values—is paramount for developing targeted interventions that address the root of the conflict rather than merely its symptoms (Chaudhary & Arora, 2023; Michael & Mary, 2018).

For instance, conflicts can emerge from differing interests and objectives among distinct organizational subsystems, necessitating integrative devices for effective resolution (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). This often involves re-evaluating structural dependencies and communication protocols to ensure alignment across disparate units (Adham, 2023). Situations where perceived threats to group identity or status provoke defensive behaviors and unwillingness to compromise further exacerbate intergroup conflicts, typically requiring third-party interventions to bridge divides and foster mutual understanding (Reid & Lienemann, n.d.).

Although conflict can disrupt operations, it also serves as a crucial driver of innovation and informed decision-making when managed effectively to prevent escalation into harmful adversarial relations (Glickman, 1981). A critical distinction exists between emotional conflicts, which demand de-escalation through reframing and perspective-taking, and substantive disagreements over ideas, which can be constructively leveraged by focusing on issues rather than personal attacks (Glickman, 1981; Müller & Swanepoel, 2023).

Intergroup dynamics are further complicated by departmentalization, where necessary divisions of labor create boundaries that foster conflict due to varying degrees of autonomy and interdependence among units (Bloch, 1985). These divisions often breed a "we vs. them" mentality, especially amid scarce resources or diverging goals, underscoring the need for leadership to promote a unified organizational identity (Suklun, 2019). Indeed, effective

leadership plays a pivotal role in bridging these divides, cultivating an environment where disagreements become opportunities for growth rather than threats to stability (Glickman, 1981).

Acknowledging the functional aspects of intergroup conflict—such as spurring innovation or challenging complacency—is essential for transforming obstacles into catalysts for organizational advancement. Research indicates that effective intergroup conflict management is closely linked to intragroup relations management, revealing a systemic interconnectedness where internal team dynamics shape external interactions (Marr & Jameson, 2025). Thus, a team's ability to navigate internal disagreements directly influences its capacity for constructive engagement with other groups, highlighting the need for robust conflict resolution capabilities at all organizational levels (Choi & Yang, 2024; Glickman, 1981). This perspective emphasizes how changes in one organizational subsystem can ripple throughout, with unresolved intragroup conflicts amplifying intergroup tensions and broader dissonance (Ackroyd, 2009).

An integrated approach simultaneously addressing both intragroup and intergroup dynamics is therefore crucial for building a harmonious and productive labor collective (Suardi & Furinto, 2023). This holistic strategy resolves underlying issues at their source, averting escalation into pervasive problems while nurturing a resilient culture adaptable to future challenges and sustained collaboration. Transparent communication and continuous feedback mechanisms further bolster this environment by clarifying contributions and organizational direction, minimizing misunderstandings, and enhancing belonging (Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025). Ultimately, leaders are vital in mediating conflicts through open dialogue centered on ideas, not personal attributes (Glickman, 1981).

Conflict Dynamics

1. Pre-conflict

This phase is characterized by underlying conditions that create potential for conflict, such as scarce resources, ambiguous jurisdictions, or incompatible goals, without overt hostile actions (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). During this stage, stakeholders may experience simmering tensions or subtle disagreements, yet these issues often remain unaddressed and unarticulated due to a lack of awareness or communication channels (Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025). Failure to identify and address these latent conflicts can lead to escalation into more overt and destructive forms (Adham, 2023; Rwehumbiza & Mfugale, 2022). Proactive management during this period involves establishing clear communication protocols and fostering an environment where concerns can be raised and addressed before they solidify into entrenched positions (Choi & Yang, 2024).

Such proactive measures can also mitigate the risk of conflicts spreading through contagion—a phenomenon that is surprisingly rare in conflict theory (Shah et al., 2020). Instead, most intragroup and intergroup conflicts originate from distinct subgroups formed around social categories or shared interests, rather than generalized animosity across the collective (Jones et al., 2019). These conflicts frequently stem from fundamental differences in objectives, interests, or perceptions between formal and informal groups within an organization (Georgieva & Georgieva, 2019), often manifesting as disagreements over resource allocation, strategic priorities, or procedural approaches (Adham, 2023). Structured interventions, such as facilitating collaborative problem-solving, help clarify core issues and generate mutually beneficial solutions (Reid & Lienemann, n.d.).

For instance, Blake and Mouton identified five modes of conflict resolution—win-lose power struggle, smoothing over, withdrawal, compromise, and confrontation (with problem-solving as the most effective for intergroup collaboration) (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). The choice of strategy significantly impacts organizational effectiveness, reflecting diverse leadership philosophies and cultural norms (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). Leaders' ability to navigate these modes strategically is crucial for fostering a productive work environment (Glickman, 1981). Similarly, emphasizing superordinate goals—shared objectives requiring cooperation between conflicting groups—effectively reduces intergroup hostility by redirecting efforts toward common success (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). This focus can transform competitive dynamics into collaborative ventures, mitigating tensions and building unified collective identity.

Moreover, effective resolution depends on understanding conflict intensity, as different levels demand tailored strategies (Esbati & Korunka, 2021). Low-intensity conflicts may benefit from mediation or negotiation, while high-intensity ones require formal arbitration or direct intervention (Shah et al., 2020). Recognizing intensity enables proportionate responses, from informal mediation to formal processes (Glickman, 1981). Equally vital is discerning specific sources and types—task-related, relationship-oriented, or procedural—to address root causes rather than symptoms (Tjosvold et al., 2019). Ultimately, tailored strategies suit varying situations (Murerwa & Guantai, 2019), and leaders must cultivate an environment viewing conflict as natural and beneficial, generating diverse ideas for wise decisions—provided it focuses on concepts, not personal attacks (Glickman, 1981).

2. Incident

This phase marks the overt manifestation of previously latent issues, as unarticulated tensions transition into observable disagreements or clashes. At this stage, the conflict gains recognition among involved parties, prompting direct confrontations, formal complaints, or other explicit expressions of opposition (Michael & Mary, 2018). Here, the leader's role becomes critical: guiding the conflict toward forging common values, goals, norms, and procedures while balancing the restoration of positive interpersonal relationships with task resolution (Glickman, 1981). Effective strategies often combine compromise and collaboration to achieve integrated resolutions that satisfy all parties, avoiding zero-sum outcomes (Hussain et al., 2024). These approaches emphasize actively managing emotions, ensuring equitable participation, and converting competitive dynamics into cooperative problem-solving to avert escalation (Glickman, 1981).

Furthermore, leaders must understand diverse conflict management styles—such as competition or collaboration—to steer teams toward constructive outcomes and prevent conflicts from festering (Staniulienė & Kurienė, 2022). Without intervention, the incident phase can progress to escalation through intensifying interactions, where initial disagreements evolve into complex, emotionally charged disputes that complicate the conflict's original structure. Escalatory patterns frequently shift from issue-based discussions to personal attacks, requiring interventions that de-escalate emotions and refocus on objective problem-solving (Overton & Lowry, 2013). Thus, leaders' judicious handling of conflict—rather than avoidance—is essential for enabling superior group decisions in an environment where disagreement is valued (Glickman, 1981).

This demands distinguishing constructive from destructive conflict: promoting the former to foster diverse perspectives and innovation (Glickman, 1981), while mitigating the latter to preserve cohesion and productivity (Glickman, 1981). Ultimately, cultivating open

communication and proactive issue resolution is paramount for positive organizational outcomes (Chaudhary & Arora, 2023). This entails equipping leaders with skills—via training in active listening, assertive communication, negotiation, and problem-solving techniques—to manage conflicts effectively and nurture collaborative team atmospheres (Adham, 2023; Chaudhary & Arora, 2023).

3. Escalation

This phase is characterized by an increase in the intensity and scope of the conflict, as initial disagreements evolve into more complex, emotionally charged disputes (Glickman, 1981). During escalation, parties often expand their demands, draw in additional individuals or groups, and shift from specific issues to broader grievances or personal attacks—further entrenching positions and complicating resolution. This shift makes de-escalation challenging, necessitating deliberate strategies to restore constructive dialogue and address underlying emotions (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024). Leaders play a pivotal role by redirecting this heightened emotional energy toward productive engagement; without such intervention, unchecked escalation can cause significant organizational dysfunction and interpersonal harm. Undiscussable issues then emerge, communication fragments, trust erodes, transaction costs rise, and teams settle for only the simplest, most consensual decisions—stifling innovation and fostering fragmentation (Cameron & Quinn, 2006).

Unchecked escalation can descend into severe "loss of face" or outright threats, rendering resolution far more difficult (Turner et al., 2018). Competitive orientations, lack of trust, and rigid adherence to one's beliefs often drive this process, fostering negative reciprocity and destructive outcomes (Montiel et al., 2022). Consequently, forging shared values and goals becomes harder, as individuals grow reluctant to express themselves or entertain others' ideas (Glickman, 1981). Yet proactive leadership—through timely interventions and de-escalation tactics—can halt the spiral, rebuilding a basis for constructive interaction (Tjosvold, 2007).

Effective interventions typically include reframing the conflict, setting clear communication protocols, and guiding negotiations toward mutually agreeable solutions (Kiyumi, 2023). In contrast, unmanaged escalation amplifies negative attitudes, pulls in more participants, and detaches conflicts from their origins, intensifying confrontational behaviors and hindering resolution (Dirrler & Podruzsik, 2023). Mediators can counter this by pausing interactions during emotional outbursts, giving parties time to regain composure and shift from emotionality to rationality (Müller & Swanepoel, 2023). Such cooling-off periods are vital, as intense anger and frustration impair reasoned judgment and provoke impulsive "hot" reactions (Rouwette & Franco, 2024; Skiba, 2020). Ultimately, de-escalation succeeds by refocusing from personal attacks to objective issues, paving the way for fact-based dialogue and collaborative problem-solving (Glickman, 1981).

4. Resolution

The resolution phase seeks to establish mutual understanding and agreement among conflicting parties, often through techniques designed to rebuild trust and foster reconciliation (Reid & Lienemann, n.d.). This process entails transitioning from individual perspectives to shared understanding, requiring a collaborative spirit in which each party acknowledges the reciprocal impact on the other (Scott & Gerardi, 2011; Turner et al., 2018). Achieving this shift demands open communication and a focus on underlying interests rather than entrenched positions, frequently with support from a neutral third party (Schijndel &

Burchardi, 2007). Effective strategies emphasize empathy and mutual consideration, moving from blame to constructive solutions that honor both parties' viewpoints (Killen & Smetana, 2006). Techniques like negotiation, mediation, and dialogue workshops guide parties toward mutually acceptable outcomes, laying the groundwork for sustainable peace (Hirsch & Moore, 2023).

Such approaches prove especially vital when moral concerns arise, as empathy and understanding yield more conciliatory, mutually beneficial solutions (Killen & Smetana, 2006). In organizational settings, resolving conflicts among differentiated subsystems with divergent interests often calls for integrative mechanisms to enable productive interactions (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). These might include restructured communication channels, cross-functional teams, or formal resolution processes to handle intergroup tensions constructively (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). Successful reconciliation reconnects parties in sustainable relationships, renewing community and shared purpose (Tully, 2018), while transforming competition into collaboration—and recognizing conflict as an inevitable catalyst for positive change when well-managed (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967).

At its heart, effective resolution redirects conversations from blame to joint solution-building, highlighting the benefits of diverse ideas for individuals and the organization alike (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024). This typically involves identifying common ground and shared interests as a foundation for mutually beneficial outcomes (Johnson et al., 2024; Reid & Lienemann, n.d.), alongside openness to dialogue's insights on harmonious relational dynamics that benefit all (Hammer, 2016). Mediators are pivotal here, leveraging sharp observation to guide discussions from fault-finding to practical resolutions (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024). Ultimately, enduring solutions must meet all parties' needs, promoting fairness and longevity (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024).

5. Post-conflict stage

The post-conflict stage focuses on sustaining agreements reached during resolution, preventing recurrence, and integrating lessons learned into future interactions. This phase requires continuous monitoring, reinforcement of new behavioral norms, and evaluation of resolution strategies to ensure long-term stability and cultivate a proactive culture of conflict management (Toruan et al., 2023). Essential elements include clear accountability mechanisms, ongoing support to embed conflict resolution skills organization-wide, and formalized feedback systems for periodic reviews that address lingering or emerging tensions (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024). This proactive approach transforms conflict from a disruptive force into an opportunity for organizational learning and stronger relational dynamics.

Building trust and promoting cooperation are vital here, as a supportive community fosters learning and reduces future disputes (Reid & Lienemann, n.d.). Such efforts create safe spaces for expressing concerns and engaging in constructive dialogue, ultimately enhancing cohesion and productivity. Rather than merely mitigating discord, this comprehensive strategy turns potential disruptions into catalysts for growth—boosting organizational adaptability, resilience (Chaudhary & Arora, 2023; Hyatt & Gruenglas, 2023), and personal development through self-reflection and refined interpersonal skills (Lappalainen, 2019). Ongoing cultivation of these abilities yields a more resilient workforce equipped to navigate challenges and sustain harmony (Hosseinzadeh, 2024).

Restorative conflict mediation exemplifies this by promoting reflexivity and introspection, which spur individual growth and organizational learning (Lappalainen, 2019). Its facilitative emphasis on personal development builds self-reflective abilities and

communication skills, thereby enriching psychological capital (Lappalainen, 2019). Additionally, resources like workshops and mentoring support ethical decision-making that extends beyond the organization into the community (Hyatt & Gruenglas, 2023), while reinforcing trust and collaborative problem-solving essential to a healthy culture (Scott & Gerardi, 2011).

Approaches to Conflict Resolution

1. Negotiation and the Elucidation of Interests

Negotiation is a foundational method for resolving disputes, enabling parties to engage directly in discussions to reach mutually acceptable agreements without third-party intervention. This process involves identifying underlying needs and desires, moving beyond superficial positions to explore deeper motivations. It emphasizes active listening, transparent communication, and a willingness to compromise, thereby constructing solutions that benefit all stakeholders (Bhakuni et al., 2024). Such direct interaction fosters ownership of the resolution and strengthens relationships through shared understanding of diverse perspectives (Hyatt & Gruenglas, 2023). Successful negotiation hinges on clearly articulating interests rather than demands, paving the way for creative solutions that address core concerns (Reid & Lienemann, n.d.).

This approach also enhances parties' self-determination, as they collaboratively shape their reconciliation terms, yielding more durable outcomes (Lappalainen, 2019). Direct engagement in problem-solving further develops communication skills and appreciation for differing viewpoints, helping to mitigate future conflicts. Complementing these benefits, training managers in conflict resolution and emotional intelligence enables proactive issue resolution before escalation, reinforcing a positive workplace culture (Bhakuni et al., 2024). Similarly, ombud services offer an impartial, confidential channel for voicing concerns, promoting psychological safety and early intervention (Hyatt & Gruenglas, 2023; Kamkar & Baril, 2024).

Integrating negotiation into comprehensive strategies transforms conflicts into opportunities for growth and stronger organizational bonds (Adham, 2023). For instance, openly discussing problems with all affected parties uncovers root causes, informing tailored interventions (Rai & Kulkarni, 2023). This aligns with interest-based conflict management, which builds collaborative problem-solving skills and empowers participants to handle disputes effectively (Adham, 2023). Structured dialogues shift focus from positional bargaining to shared goals and creative, multi-need-satisfying solutions (Shabangu et al., 2022), fostering mutual understanding and sustainable agreements (Linstead et al., 2009).

Further support comes from embedding mediation within core management functions, enhancing employee involvement in decision-making and commitment to outcomes (Feigenblatt, 2023). By systematically addressing conflicts through mediation, organizations prevent disputes from festering and cultivate constructive engagement (Pienov et al., 2024). Such efforts improve employee relations climates and build high-trust relationships among managers, HR, and unions—exemplified in "Healthy Healthcare" environments with cross-functional stakeholder input (Cooper et al., 2024; Jones & Saundry, 2016).

When properly implemented, these integrated conflict management systems significantly reduce formal grievances and turnover (Dunford et al., 2019). They promote collaborative cultures viewing disagreements as innovation opportunities (Glickman, 1981), resolve disputes efficiently and equitably (Dunford et al., 2019), enable early intervention via

continuous communication (Dunford et al., 2019), and boost satisfaction while curbing conflict-related stress (Bingham, 2004). This systemic approach not only de-escalates immediate tensions but also fosters an environment where mindfulness practices can further support emotional regulation and improve negotiation effectiveness among employees (Hosseinzadeh, 2024). These benefits, particularly stress reduction and improved innovation, are further amplified by workplace mindfulness initiatives, which foster individual and organizational resilience.

These methods collectively enhance an organization's capacity for systemic self-reflection, ensuring that conflict resolution becomes an integral aspect of continuous improvement rather than merely a reactive measure (Adham, 2023). This systemic approach cultivates a culture of proactive engagement with disagreements, where dialogue and mutual understanding take priority over adversarial confrontation, thereby supporting long-term organizational health and productivity (Hosseinzadeh, 2024). Moreover, by integrating diverse conflict resolution strategies, organizations can adapt to complex and evolving workplace dynamics. Such adaptive capacity is crucial for fostering social justice and empowering employees through structured mediation processes that integrate diverse voices, including those of minorities and subjugated groups (Feigenblatt, 2023).

2. Gathering Comprehensive Information

A thorough understanding of a dispute's context is paramount, necessitating the collection of exhaustive data to illuminate the environmental factors contributing to adversarial relationships (Nager, 2020). This involves employing sophisticated HR analytics to proactively identify potential conflict areas through predictive modeling and employee sentiment analysis, allowing for early intervention and preventive policies (Alam, 2024). A detailed analysis of organizational communication patterns, leadership styles, and employee engagement metrics can further reveal systemic issues that fuel discord, while examining employee feedback and performance data uncovers behavioral patterns leading to conflict—enabling targeted interventions and training programs (Alam, 2024).

Additionally, conducting anonymous surveys and focus groups provides deeper qualitative insights into employee perceptions and experiences, surfacing hidden tensions and unspoken grievances that might otherwise go unaddressed. This comprehensive data collection ensures interventions are evidence-based and precisely targeted at root causes rather than superficial symptoms. Moreover, recognizing the diverse interests and objectives of organizational subsystems is critical, as conflicts often stem from these inherent differences (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). Organizations should thus foster environments where confrontation and problem-solving are encouraged as typical resolution modes, enhancing intergroup relations and integration (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). Indeed, successful groups often exhibit conflict, as it generates the wealth of information and ideas essential for informed decision-making (Glickman, 1981).

This underscores the need to distinguish constructive from destructive conflict, promoting the former to leverage diverse perspectives for advancement. Leaders should encourage disagreements focused on ideas rather than personal attributes, using procedural approaches to manage conflicts constructively and yield better decisions and group outcomes (Glickman, 1981). Furthermore, consistent collection of satisfaction data via centralized, periodic surveys equips leaders to track employees' evolving needs and expectations, transforming the employee-organization relationship by prioritizing people in strategy (Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025). Bolstered by ongoing monitoring and evaluation of employee

experience, this enables proactive HR adjustments and cultivates resilient, engaged workforces (Lee et al., 2025).

Ultimately, this holistic approach integrates structural, symbolic, and relational dimensions to enhance employee experience, boosting commitment, well-being, and work meaningfulness (Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025). By emphasizing role clarity, effective communication, and supportive leadership, it reduces confusion and stress, fostering harmony (García-Salirrosas et al., 2025; Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025). In turn, this minimizes destructive interpersonal conflicts from power struggles or clashing interests among formal and informal leaders in micro-groups—prioritizing targeted interventions at core dynamics over superficial symptoms (Georgieva & Georgieva, 2019).

3. Reconfiguring Organizational Structures and Cultivating a Conducive Psychological Climate

This entails designing organizational structures that inherently support collaboration and fairness, while simultaneously nurturing a psychological environment characterized by trust, psychological safety, and open dialogue. Such an environment facilitates the productive expression of disagreements, transforming potential conflicts into opportunities for organizational learning and innovation. To achieve this, leaders must move beyond traditional directive roles to embrace inclusive leadership practices, actively listening to diverse perspectives and acting on employee feedback to foster a culture of belonging (Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025). This proactive approach includes establishing clear communication channels and empowering employees to voice concerns without fear of reprisal, thereby strengthening relational coordination within teams (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024; García-Salirrosas et al., 2025).

Furthermore, organizations should implement participatory decision-making processes, allowing employees to contribute meaningfully to solutions and increasing their commitment to conflict resolution outcomes (Bharathi & Sujatha, 2024). These structural adjustments, coupled with a focus on humanistic values and employee well-being, contribute significantly to cultivating a positive employee experience, which is crucial for engagement and overall organizational health (Patil et al., 2023; Acuña-Hurtado et al., 2024). This human-centered approach also involves recognizing and addressing individual differences in work styles and personality to mitigate interpersonal friction and foster a more harmonious workplace (Alam, 2024). Moreover, fostering a human-centered organization by prioritizing empathy and care for employees, akin to customer relations, redirects innovation tools towards designing organizations that embody these humanistic values (Townsend & Romme, 2024).

This proactive stance on organizational design and cultural cultivation is further amplified by effective leadership, which serves as a linchpin in shaping employee experience and fostering commitment (Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025). Strategic leadership, specifically, plays a critical role in aligning organizational goals with individual interests, thereby enhancing overall employee engagement and motivation (Bensouda et al., 2025). Effective leaders actively mediate disputes, promote a shared vision that unifies individuals, and align their efforts toward common organizational goals, thus creating a more harmonious work environment (Hyatt & Gruenglas, 2023). This approach transforms the employee-organization relationship by placing individuals at the core of strategic planning, rather than merely treating them as productive assets (Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025). Furthermore, ethical leadership, characterized by integrity and fairness, significantly enhances employee trust and

work engagement, indirectly contributing to conflict reduction by fostering a positive and reliable workplace atmosphere (Islam et al., 2023).

Such leadership not only prevents many conflicts from escalating but also builds a resilient organizational culture where disagreements are managed constructively (Kişi, 2024) (García-Salirrosas et al., 2025). By investing in participative HR frameworks and preventative mechanisms, organizations can mitigate the detrimental effects of labor conflicts, thereby cultivating a culture of innovation and maintaining industrial harmony (Bensouda et al., 2025). This strategic emphasis on human resources, integrating structural and psychological considerations, transforms potential friction into opportunities for growth and heightened organizational productivity (Bensouda et al., 2025; Naranjo Douglas et al., 2025). This integrated approach, which considers emotional intelligence and leadership psychology, helps in effectively resolving conflicts and improving overall workforce agility (Alam, 2024). It recognizes that proactive Human Resource Management strategies, by fostering open communication and collaborative problem-solving, can transform potential employer-employee disputes into opportunities for constructive dialogue and idea generation (Bensouda et al., 2025).

4. Enhancing the Role of Trade Unions in Fostering Social Partnership

In many contexts, trade unions serve as crucial intermediaries, advocating for workers' rights and interests while also playing a pivotal role in mediating disputes between employees and management (Mabaso et al., 2024). Their involvement can transform contentious labor relations into constructive social partnerships, particularly through collective bargaining agreements that establish clear frameworks for conflict resolution and employee representation (Uzougbo et al., 2023). These frameworks often include formal grievance procedures and negotiation protocols, which provide structured avenues for addressing workplace issues before they escalate into significant disputes. Moreover, strong unions contribute to a more balanced power dynamic, ensuring that employee concerns are given due consideration, which is essential for fostering a climate of trust and fairness within the workplace (Bensouda et al., 2025).

This collaborative approach, where both management and unions work towards common goals, is fundamental to establishing a robust quality of work life, thereby reducing employee dissatisfaction and enhancing overall productivity. Furthermore, social dialogue, encompassing negotiation, consultation, and information sharing, is crucial for preventing and resolving collective conflicts, as it provides a platform for both parties to address organizational conflicts constructively (García et al., 2022). This emphasis on dialogue not only aids in dispute resolution but also fosters a participative corporate culture, ultimately leading to higher productivity and improved product quality (Organizational Analysis and Competitive Advantage, n.d.). Effective integration of human resource departments, through participative problem solving, restructured work, and innovative reward systems, is essential for improving the human dimension of work and preventing conflict. These mechanisms are vital for ensuring fair treatment and equitable outcomes, contributing significantly to a stable and productive labor environment (Elgoibar et al., 2016).

Furthermore, the efficacy of trade unions in mitigating social conflict is often bolstered by their capacity to engage in global unionism, thereby leveraging international solidarity to address transnational labor issues and ensure equitable treatment across diverse geographical contexts (Matebese & Govender, 2022). This global perspective allows for a broader application of best practices in labor relations and conflict resolution, potentially

standardizing fair labor practices internationally (Martínez-Corts et al., 2022). However, in certain regions, such as Kazakhstan, the efficacy of trade unions is constrained by normative regulations and structural limitations, which often impede meaningful dialogue between workers and employers (Buribayev et al., 2023). This weakness can lead to situations where workers resort to drastic actions like strikes or hunger strikes when their suggestions and demands are not adequately addressed (Buribayev et al., 2023). Such extreme measures underscore the critical need for more robust and responsive mechanisms for dispute resolution within labor collectives.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that social conflict is an inevitable and integral element of labor collectives. Rooted in structural contradictions and human psychological dynamics, conflict cannot be eliminated but can be transformed into a constructive force when properly managed. Classical theories demonstrate that conflict serves not only as a disruptive phenomenon but also as a catalyst for adaptation, cohesion, and organizational stability. The effectiveness of conflict resolution depends on early identification of latent tensions, strengthened communication, and the active role of trade unions in mediating disagreements. Understanding these dynamics allows enterprises to enhance their work climate, improve productivity, and build sustainable social partnerships.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

This research is primarily theoretical and does not include empirical fieldwork or quantitative organizational data. The analysis focuses on classical sociological frameworks, with limited incorporation of contemporary workplace challenges such as digital communication, hybrid work arrangements, or cross-cultural variations. Consequently, the generalizability of the findings may be restricted to similar socio-organizational contexts.

Future studies should integrate empirical investigations through surveys, interviews, or case studies within diverse industries to validate theoretical insights. Comparative research across cultural and organizational settings would deepen understanding of conflict patterns. Further exploration is needed on modern conflict triggers, including remote work tensions, digital surveillance, and emotional intelligence in leadership. Developing a comprehensive conflict-management model tailored to contemporary organizational realities would significantly enhance the practical relevance of future work.

REFERENCES

- Ackroyd, S. (2009). *Organizational Conflict*. In SAGE Publications Ltd eBooks (p. 192). SAGE Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781849200455.n12>
- Acuña-Hurtado, N., García-Salirrosas, E. E., Villar-Guevara, M., & Fernández-Mallma, I. (2024). Scale to Evaluate Employee Experience: Evidence of Validity and Reliability in Regular Basic Education Teachers in the Peruvian Context. *Behavioral Sciences*, 14(8), 667. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14080667>
- Adham, T. K. I. (2023). Conflict Resolution in Team: Analyzing the of Conflicts and Best Skills for Resolution. *Scholars Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 11(8), 152. <https://doi.org/10.36347/sjet.2023.v11i08.001>

- Agunbiade, N. (2024). Exploring sociological perspectives on the current job market. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4908078>
- Al, B. (2022). Takım Çalışması ve Çatışma Yönetiminin Algılanan Bireysel Performans Üzerindeki Etkisi. *DergiPark* (Istanbul University). <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/jobreview/issue/68155/1012773>
- Alam, M. A. (2024). HR Analytics for Strategic Decisions: Resolving Conflicts from a Psychological Perspective. *Research Square*. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-5344306/v1>
- Ali, N. N. S., Ahmad, M. Z., Ahmad Apandi, L. S., Afendi, N. A., & Shukri, S. (2021). Sois-Conference on Contemporary Global Studies 2021 “Resilience, Agility in Global Studies amid the Unprecedented Pandemic.”
- Azcárate, A. L. (2012). *Theoretical and Methodological Approaches to Social Sciences and Knowledge Management*. In InTech eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.5772/2273>
- Barkan, S. E. (2016). *Sociology: Understanding and Changing the Social World*. <https://doi.org/10.24926/8668.2401>
- Bensouda, A., Aissaoui, H. E., & Ourdi, A. (2025). Impact of labour conflicts on employee innovation: The role of proactive HRM. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 23. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v23i0.2900>
- Bhakuni, S., Rana, A., & Bhanot, B. (2024). Techniques for Managing Employee Relations and Conflict Resolution within the Workplace. *Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 6(3), 94. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2024.6.3.10>
- Bharathi, S. K. S., & Sujatha, S. (2024). A Systematic Review Approach to Organisational Conflict Resolution for Optimal Productivity and Seamless Functioning [Review of A Systematic Review Approach to Organisational Conflict Resolution for Optimal Productivity and Seamless Functioning]. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research/Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, 244. Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-433-4_18
- Bingham, L. B. (2004). Employment dispute resolution: The case for mediation. *Conflict Resolution Quarterly*, 22, 145. <https://doi.org/10.1002/crq.96>
- Bingöl, İ. (2022). *Toplumsal Teoride Çatışmanın Klasik Kökleri Üzerine Bir Değerlendirme: Karl Marx, Max Weber, Georg Simmel*. *DergiPark* (Istanbul University). <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/matbuat/issue/71397/1138578>
- Bloch, B. (1985). Interdepartmental conflict: The neglected dimension of management. *South African Journal of Business Management*, 16(4), 195. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajbm.v16i4.1095>
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The Ecology of Human Development*. In Harvard University Press eBooks. Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674028845>
- Buribayev, Y., Khamzina, Z., Rakhimova, G., Turlykhankyzy, K., & Kalkayeva, N. (2023). Advantage and Risks of the Specialization of Courts in Social and Labor Disputes. *International Journal for Court Administration*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.36745/ijca.477>
- Cain, G., & Plessis, A. du. (2013). Teachers’ Perceptions of The Consequences of Interpersonal Conflict: A Case Study in Three Primary Schools. *Problems of Management in the 21st Century*, 8(1), 25. <https://doi.org/10.33225/pmc/13.08.25b>
- Cameron, K. S., & Quinn, R. E. (2006). Diagnosing and Changing Organizational Culture: Based on the Competing Values Framework. *Personnel Psychology*, 59(3), 755. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2006.00052_5.x

- Chaudhary, S., & Arora, N. (2023). Turning Conflict into Collaboration: The Power of Constructive Conflict Management for Your Team. *Journal of Population Therapeutics and Clinical Pharmacology*, 30(15). <https://doi.org/10.47750/jptcp.2023.30.15.006>
- Chesler, M. A., Crowfoot, J. E., & Bryant, B. (1979). *The Institutional Contexts of School Desegregation: Contrasting Models of Research and Practice*. Deep Blue (University of Michigan). <https://hdl.handle.net/2027.42/50973>
- Choi, M., & Yang, J. (2024). Exploring the Complexities of Negotiation: Strategies for Successful Intra- and Inter-Team Negotiation in Organizations. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, 27(3). <https://doi.org/10.18564/jasss.5398>
- Cooper, A., Teoh, K., Madine, R., Neal, A., Jones, A., Hussain, A., & Behrens, D. A. (2024). The last resort: reducing avoidable employee harm by improving the application of the disciplinary policy and process. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1350351>
- Dirrler, P., & Podrutzik, S. (2023). Conflict Costs in National and International Business: A Comparative Analysis. *Foundations of Management*, 15(1), 209. <https://doi.org/10.2478/fman-2023-0015>
- Dublenkova, S., Anoshin, K., & Соколовская, И. Э. (2022). Modern Technologies for Resolving Conflicts Within an Organization. *Laisvalaikio Tyrimai*, 2(18), 1. <https://doi.org/10.33607/elt.v1i17.1124>
- Dunford, B. B., Mumford, K. J., Boss, R. W., Boss, A. D., & Boss, D. S. (2019). Integrated Conflict Management Systems Pay Off with Lower Levels of Formal Grievances and Lower Turnover Rates. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 73(2), 528. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0019793919882892>
- E., T. Z. B. (2008). "El trabajo" visto desde una perspectiva social y jurídica. *Revista Latinoamericana de Derecho Social*. <https://doi.org/10.22201/ijj.24487899e.2008.7.9555>
- Egerová, D., & Rotenbornová, L. (2021). Towards Understanding of Workplace Conflict: An Examination Into Causes and Conflict Management Strategies. *Problems of Management in the 21st Century*, 16(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.33225/pmc/21.16.07>
- Elgoibar, P., Munduate, L., & Euwema, M. (2016). *Building Trust and Constructive Conflict Management in Organizations*. In *Industrial relations & conflict management* (p. 1). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31475-4_1
- Esbati, Z., & Korunka, C. (2021). Does Intragroup Conflict Intensity Matter? The Moderating Effects of Conflict Management on Emotional Exhaustion and Work Engagement. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.614001>
- Farha, F. N., Anugrah, R. T., Restivalia, S. P., Mahbubah, Z., Adani, S. P., & Pramono, D. (2022). Dynamics of Social Conflict in Bener Dam and Mining Plan Development in Wadas Village: Karl Marx's Conflict Theory Perspective. *Jupiis Jurnal Pendidikan Ilmu-Ilmu Sosial*, 14(1), 27. <https://doi.org/10.24114/jupiis.v14i1.30431>
- Fauzi, M., Muttaqin, A., Alfi, I., & Basit, A. (2021). Reforma Agraria Dan Aksi Reklamasi Di Areal PT. Perkebunan Nusantara (Persero) IX Afdelling Gunung Panenjoan Desa Bantar Kecamatan Wanareja Kabupaten Cilacap.
- Feigenblatt, O. V. (2023). Mediation for Management: Dealing with Conflict in the Workplace. *Revista Innovaciones de Negocios*, 18(35), 113. <https://doi.org/10.29105/revin18.35-387>
- García, A. B., Elgoibar, P., Medina, F. J., Munduate, L., & Euwema, M. (2022). Healthcare workers' survival in times of COVID-19: The need for social dialogue. *Revista Internacional de Organizaciones*, 29, 35. <https://doi.org/10.17345/rio29.35-55>

- García-Salirrosas, E. E., Yong-Chung, F. E., Arroyo, R. R. J., Escobar-Farfán, M., & Acevedo-Duque, Á. (2025). Impact of Leader Behavior on Employee Experience and Job Satisfaction in Educational Institutions. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(4), 119. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15040119>
- Georgieva, I., & Georgieva, S. (2019). Aspects of conflicts within the organization. *Vilnius University Open Series*, 2, 12. <https://doi.org/10.15388/openseries.2019.18398>
- Glickman, C. D. (1981). Supervision of Instruction: A Developmental Approach. In *Medical Entomology and Zoology*. Japan Society of Medical Entomology and Zoology. <https://ci.nii.ac.jp/ncid/BA27485196>
- Gonzales, M. (2021). *Systems Thinking for Supporting Students with Special Needs and Disabilities: A Handbook for Classroom Teachers*. In *Systems Thinking for Supporting Students with Special Needs and Disabilities: A Handbook for Classroom Teachers*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4558-4>
- Gulowski, R., & Weller, C. (2017). Zivile Konfliktbearbeitung. Kritik, Konzept und theoretische Fundierung. *PERIPHERIE*, 37(3), 386. <https://doi.org/10.3224/peripherie.v37i3.01>
- Hajaliyev, E. B. (2025). *Characteristics of Political and Social Conflicts*. DergiPark (Istanbul University). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17182575>
- Hammer, B. (2016). Developing a Truly Compassionate Society. *Journal of Psychology & Clinical Psychiatry*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.15406/jpcpy.2016.05.00245>
- Harney, B. (2019). *Systems theory: forgotten legacy and future prospects*. In Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781786439017.00014>
- Hirsch, S. F., & Moore, B. R. A. (2023). Cultural Expertise and Conflict Resolution. In Routledge eBooks (p. 215). Informa. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003167075-23>
- Hosseinzadeh, Z. (2024). *Harmony Amidst Turmoil: Navigating Conflict with Mindfulness*. In IntechOpen eBooks. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1003050>
- Hourani, M. A. K. A. (2019). Generational “Structural Flexibility” in the United Arab Emirati Family: Lewis Coser’s Functions of Social Conflict Revisited. *Canadian Journal of Family and Youth / Le Journal Canadien de Famille et de La Jeunesse*, 11(1), 136. <https://doi.org/10.29173/cjfy29409>
- Husemann, K. C., Ladstaetter, F., & Luedicke, M. K. (2015). Conflict Culture and Conflict Management in Consumption Communities. *Psychology and Marketing*, 32(3), 265. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20779>
- Hussain, A. N., Olaywi, A. H., Amanah, A. A., & Fadhil, A. H. (2024). Interactive Role of Strategic Clarity in The Relationship Between Organizational Conflict Management and Strategic Decision Quality. *Verslas Teorija Ir Praktika*, 25(1), 154. <https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2024.20083>
- Hyatt, J., & Gruenglas, J. (2023). Ethical Considerations in Organizational Conflict. In IntechOpen eBooks. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1002645>
- Hyde, M. A., & Couser, G. (2024). Successfully Managing Workplace Conflict. *Healthcare Administration Leadership & Management Journal*, 2(5), 221. <https://doi.org/10.55834/halmj.4952401687>
- Iceland, J. (2025). *Beyond conflict: recovering the sociology of social progress*. *Theory and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11186-025-09653-z>

- Islam, T., Khatoon, A., Cheema, A. U., & Ashraf, Y. (2023). How does ethical leadership enhance employee work engagement? The roles of trust in leader and harmonious work passion. *Kybernetes*, 53(6), 2090. <https://doi.org/10.1108/k-09-2022-1343>
- Jehn, K. A. (1997). A Qualitative Analysis of Conflict Types and Dimensions in Organizational Groups. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42(3), 530. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393737>
- John-Eke, E. C., & Akintokunbo, O. O. (2020). Conflict Management as a Tool for Increasing Organizational Effectiveness: A Review of Literature [Review of Conflict Management as a Tool for Increasing Organizational Effectiveness: A Review of Literature]. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(5). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v10-i5/7198>
- Johnson, S., Ugorowski, M., Botkin, C., Deimer, D., Bolin, J. D., Frye, S., Garrard, R. M., Kelkis, D., Prekeges, J. L., York, D. M., Shanbrun, L., Voslar, A., Gutierrez, N. G., & Cross, C. (2024). SNMMI-TS Nuclear Medicine Technology Universal AES/CI Handbook. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine Technology*, 52(4), 285. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnmt.124.268858>
- Jones, C., & Saundry, R. (2016). *A Crisis of Confidence? Front-line Managers and the Complexities of Conflict*. In Palgrave Macmillan UK eBooks (p. 105). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-51560-5_6
- Jones, S. L., Shah, P. P., Ferguson, A., & Peterson, R. S. (2019). Things Are Not Always What They Seem: The Origins and Evolution of Intragroup Conflict. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2019(1), 11498. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2019.11498abstract>
- Kamkar, K., & Baril, M. (2024). Enhancing organizational well-being and growth: The value of ombud services and the development of ombud consultation evaluation survey. *Journal of Community Safety and Well-Being*, 9(1), 52. <https://doi.org/10.35502/jcswb.375>
- Karmutoğlu, İ. (2024). *Sınıf ve Toplumsal Tabakalaşma: Teorik Bir Analiz*. DergiPark (Istanbul University). <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/cagsbd/issue/89170/1568557>
- Killen, M., & Smetana, J. G. (2006). Handbook of moral development. Choice Reviews Online, 43(5), 43. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.43-3080>
- Kiş, N. (2024). Exploring Employee Engagement in The Public Sector: Antecedents, Consequences and Strategies. *Public Administration Issues*, 5, 111. <https://doi.org/10.17323/1999-5431-2024-0-5-111-129>
- Kiyumi, M. H. A. (2023). Conflict Management in Healthcare Leadership; A Narrative Review [Review of Conflict Management in Healthcare Leadership; A Narrative Review]. *Journal of Medicine and HealthCare*, 1. [https://doi.org/10.47363/jmhc/2023\(5\)251](https://doi.org/10.47363/jmhc/2023(5)251)
- Kolb, D. M. (1987). Dealing with organizational conflict: Implications from theory. *Negotiation Journal*, 3(2), 123. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01087248>
- Kolba, A., & Chadayeva, Z. (2021). Political Institutionalization of Conflicts: Basic Approaches and Research Problems. *Вестник Пермского Университета Политология*, 15(2), 16. <https://doi.org/10.17072/2218-1067-2021-2-16-26>
- Korumaz, E. K. (2019). *Modern Toplumda Bireyin Krizi: Marx, Durkheim ve Weber'in Eserlerinin Bir İncelemesi*. DergiPark (Istanbul University). <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/sosder/issue/51055/665826>
- Kriesberg, L. (1982). Social Conflict Theories and Conflict Resolution. *Peace & Change*, 8, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0130.1982.tb00644.x>

- Kühne, O., Leonardi, L., & Berr, K. (2023). The open society and its life chances – from Karl Popper via Ralf Dahrendorf to a human geography of options and ligatures. *Geographica Helvetica*, 78(3), 341. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gh-78-341-2023>
- Kung, A. (2017). Using environmental report cards to encourage constructive stakeholder relationships in natural resource management: developing a participatory report carding process. <https://doi.org/10.14264/uql.2017.403>
- Laluddin, H. (2016). A Review of Three Major Sociological Theories and an Islamic Perspective [Review of A Review of Three Major Sociological Theories and an Islamic Perspective]. *International Journal of Islamic Thought*, 10(1), 8. National University of Malaysia. <https://doi.org/10.24035/ijit.10.2016.002>
- Lappalainen, P. (2019). Conflicts as Triggers of Personal Growth: Post-Traumatic Growth in the Organizational Setup. *SciMedicine Journal*, 1(3), 124. <https://doi.org/10.28991/scimedj-2019-0103-2>
- Lashley, S. E. (2010). *Pursuing Environmental Justice through Collaboration: Insights from Experience*. Deep Blue (University of Michigan). <https://hdl.handle.net/2027.42/78909>
- Lawrence, P. R., & Lorsch, J. W. (1967). Differentiation and Integration in Complex Organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 12(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2391211>
- Lee, D. Y., & Jo, Y. (2023). The job demands-resource model and performance: the mediating role of employee engagement. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1194018. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1194018>
- Lee, J., Lee, J., Jun, K., & Kim, K. (2025). Exploring a multidimensional construct of employee experience and outcomes. *Personnel Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-04-2024-0371>
- Lepeyko, T., & Назаров, H. (2021). The Socio-Psychological Factors of Conflicts at Enterprise. *Business Inform*, 9(524), 236. <https://doi.org/10.32983/2222-4459-2021-9-236-243>
- Linstead, S., Fulop, L., Gosling, J., Greene, A., & Richards, D. S. (2009). *Managing conflict and negotiation* (p. 575). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-92292-5_13
- López, J. Á. M., Cano, J. M., Gómez, P. M., & Silva, A. M. C. e. (2022). Analysis of the Perception of Conflict and Its Positive Resolution in University Students. *Social Sciences*, 11(11), 529. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci11110529>
- Mabaso, C., Lesabe, F., & Govender, C. M. (2024). Harmonious, Africanised, and Modern Employment Relations model in strategic Employment Relations. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v22i0.2230>
- Maeda, K. (2023). *Organisational Culture as an Analytical Perspective on 'Organisational Failure.'* In IntechOpen eBooks. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.113154>
- Malik, A., Aziz, H., Shahabuddin, & Ahmed, T. (2019). Power, IntraTeam Conflicts, Conflict Contagion and its Impact on Performance. *Information Management and Business Review*, 11, 21. [https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v11i2\(i\).2879](https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v11i2(i).2879)
- Marr, C., & Jameson, J. K. (2025). *Organizational Conflict*. In Routledge eBooks (p. 314). Informa. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003484639-19>
- Martínez-Corts, I., Moreno-Beltrán, J. P., Pérez, S. R., & Medina, F. J. (2022). Opponent or allied? An European analysis of the union presence and human resource practices. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.878006>
- Matebese, H., & Govender, C. M. (2022). Exploring global unionism to inform South African ICT sector union strategy. *African Journal of Business Management*, 16(5), 117. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajbm2021.9315>

- Mayer, K. B., & Dahrendorf, R. (1960). Class and Class Conflict in Industrial Society. *American Sociological Review*, 25(2), 288. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2092642>
- Michael, K., & Mary, A. G. (2018). *Organizational Conflict*. In Routledge eBooks (p. 355). Informa. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315093291-23>
- Mikkelsen, E. N., & Clegg, S. (2017). Conceptions of Conflict in Organizational Conflict Research: Toward Critical Reflexivity. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 28(2), 166. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1056492617716774>
- Mikkelsen, E. N., & Clegg, S. (2018). Unpacking the Meaning of Conflict in Organizational Conflict Research. *Negotiation and Conflict Management Research*, 11(3), 185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ncmr.12127>
- Montiel, C. J., Paz, E. S. D., & Medriano, J. S. (2022). Narrative expansion and “terrorist” labeling: Discursive conflict escalation by state media. *Journal of Social and Political Psychology*, 10(2), 518. <https://doi.org/10.5964/jspp.5577>
- Müller, E., & Swanepoel, P. C. F. (2023). Advocating for mediation as a way to de-escalate conflict, with a focus on medico-legal claims: The anatomy of human conflict. *Law, Democracy & Development*, 27, 278. <https://doi.org/10.17159/2077-4907/2023/ldd.v27.11>
- Munduate, L., Medina, F. J., & Euwema, M. (2022). Mediation: Understanding a Constructive Conflict Management Tool in the Workplace. *Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 38(3), 165. <https://doi.org/10.5093/jwop2022a20>
- Murerwa, T., & Guantai, F. K. (2019). Conflicts and Conflict Management in Modern Organisations-A Pre –Conflict Resolution Environment Approach. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 9(8). <https://doi.org/10.29322/ijserp.9.08.2019.p92104>
- N., Š. M., & Lj., Š. S. (2019). Conflicts in industrial organizations and transition: The case of Serbia. *Socioloski Pregled*, 53(3), 836. <https://doi.org/10.5937/socpreg53-22521>
- Nager, A. L. (2020). Mediation in a healthcare setting: Strategies and implementation. *Journal of Hospital Administration*, 9(4), 34. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jha.v9n4p34>
- Naranjo Douglas, D., Fasce Cayo, N., & Carrasco Valencia, V. (2025). *Transforming Employee Experience: An Integrated Approach To Engagement And Productivity*.
- Nasution, R. D. (2017). Kyai Sebagai Agen Perubahan Sosial Dan Perdamaian Dalam Masyarakat Tradisional. *Sosiohumaniora*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.24198/sosiohumaniora.v19i2.10346>
- Nurdin, M. F. (2019). The Communal Land Ownership Conflict between Lewobunga and Lewonara Villages, East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 6(1), 123. <https://doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v6i1.496>
- Olorunfemi, S. O., Adeniran, A. O., & Amoako-Sakyi, R. O. (2024). Conflict among the National Union of Road Transport Workers in Akure, Nigeria: causes, consequences and management strategies. *Discover Global Society*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44282-024-00069-1>
- Organizational Analysis and Competitive Advantage. (n.d.).
- Orsini, A. (2024). *Durkheim*. In *Sociological Theory* (p. 113). SAGE Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-52539-1_5
- Overton, A., & Lowry, A. C. (2013). *Conflict Management: Difficult Conversations with Difficult People* [Review of Conflict Management: Difficult Conversations with Difficult People]. *Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery*, 26(4), 259. Thieme Medical Publishers (Germany). <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1356728>

- Pababbari, M. (2020). Front Antagonism Towards Social and Political Integration Perspectives on the Social Science of Prophetic. *Jurnal Adabiyah*, 20(1), 25. <https://doi.org/10.24252/jad.v17i120i1a2>
- Patil, R. S., Pandita, P., & Waghmare, G. (2023). Design Thinking : A Creative Approach to Develop an Employee Experience Scale. *Prabandhan Indian Journal of Management*, 16(2), 40. <https://doi.org/10.17010/pijom/2023/v16i2/172730>
- Pienov, V., Шевченко, І. Ю., & Дмитрієв, І. А. (2024). Управління Конфліктами В Колективі: Стратегії Врегулювання Та Підтримки Співробітників. *Efektivna Ekonomika*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.32702/2307-2105.2024.7.19>
- Prayogi, A. (2023). Social Change in Conflict Theory: A Descriptive Study. *ARRUS Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 3(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.35877/soshum1652>
- Rahim, A., & Bonoma, T. V. (1979). Managing Organizational Conflict: A Model for Diagnosis and Intervention. *Psychological Reports*, 44, 1323. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1979.44.3c.1323>
- Rai, N., & Kulkarni, V. (2023). Managing Newer Generations In Workplace: Opportunities And Challenges. *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, 3522. <https://doi.org/10.53555/sfs.v10i1.1545>
- Rauda, L. O. M., Manarfa, A. U., Aris, M., Prayogi, A., Amin, H., Kufliya, A. T., Kartika Sari, M. H., La Ode Abdul Munafi, T., Mandati, W. O. R., Rasulu, H., Munaeni, W., Setiawan, E., & Asri, N. N. (2024). *Teori Sosiologi*.
- Reid, R., & Lienemann, T. O. (n.d.). Marytb~1.
- Robert, N. B. (2021). Conflicts in Environmental and Agricultural Organizations in the Far North Region of Cameroon. In IntechOpen eBooks. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.95860>
- Robinson, M. (2017). "I Need That Week Off!": An Experiential Exercise on Conflict and Negotiation. *Organization Management Journal*, 14(3), 160. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15416518.2017.1353897>
- Rossi, P., & Tuurnas, S. (2019). Conflicts fostering understanding of value co-creation and service systems transformation in complex public service systems. *Public Management Review*, 23(2), 254. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2019.1679231>
- Rouwette, E. A. J. A., & Franco, L. A. (2024). *Engaged Decision Making*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003404200>
- Rwehumbiza, E. G., & Mfugale, C. B. (2022). Contribution of Conflict Management on Employees' Performance: A Case of Dar es Salaam Maritime Gateway Project. *Research Square*. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1736736/v1>
- Saltık, Ö. (2024). Navigating the Stock Market: Modeling Wealth Exchange and Network Interaction with Loss Aversion, Disposition Effect and Anchoring and Adjustment Bias. *Ekonomi Politika ve Finans Arastirmalari Dergisi*, 9(1), 88. <https://doi.org/10.30784/epfad.1435009>
- Samuel, R. (2018). Dimensionality of Intra-Organizational Conflict and The Challenges for Human Resource Management in Small And Medium Scale Enterprises In Nigeria. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 10(1), 125. <https://doi.org/10.7441/joc.2018.01.08>
- Sarwani, S., Anggraeni, R. D., & Rezki, A. (2020). The Management of Conflict Resolution On Labor Wages. *Jurnal Cita Hukum*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.15408/jch.v8i1.14994>
- Saunders, M. N. K., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (1996). *Research Methods for Business Students*. In *Surrey Research Insight Open Access (The University of Surrey)*. University of Surrey. <http://eprints.surrey.ac.uk/817621/>

- Schijndel, R. van, & Burchardi, H. (2007). Bench-to-bedside review: Leadership and conflict management in the intensive care unit [Review of Bench-to-bedside review: Leadership and conflict management in the intensive care unit]. *Critical Care*, 11(6), 234. BioMed Central. <https://doi.org/10.1186/cc6108>
- Scott, C., & Gerardi, D. (2011). A Strategic Approach for Managing Conflict in Hospitals: Responding to the Joint Commission Leadership Standard, Part 2. *The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 37(2), 70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1553-7250\(11\)37009-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1553-7250(11)37009-2)
- Semenchenko, A. V., Nazarova, G., Семенченко, А., Назаров, Н., & Urdukhanov, R. (2021). Diagnostics and making decision in conflict management at the enterprise. *Management Theory and Studies for Rural Business and Infrastructure Development*, 43(2), 259. <https://doi.org/10.15544/mts.2021.22>
- Sen, C. K. (2021). Optimal Conflict in Team-Based Laboratory Culture. *Antioxidants and Redox Signaling*, 34(9), 713. <https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2020.8225>
- Shabangu, P., Meintjes, C., & Ngcwangu, S. (2022). A purposeful multi-stakeholder learning dialogue (PMSLD) approach to mitigate high-conflict collective bargaining. *Communicare Journal for Communication Studies in Africa*, 41(2), 118. <https://doi.org/10.36615/jcsa.v41i2.1390>
- Shah, P. P., Peterson, R. S., Jones, S. L., & Ferguson, A. (2020). Things Are Not Always What They Seem: The Origins and Evolution of Intragroup Conflict. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 66(2), 426. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0001839220965186>
- Shakirova, D. M., Sultanova, G. M., Tomashova, A. G., Ivanova, K. V., Imasheva, S. E., & Ivanova, Y. A. (2021). Анализ Конфликтной Среды Внутри Коллектива На Примере Персонала Медицинского Учереждения. *Krasnoyarsk Science*, 10(2), 84. <https://doi.org/10.12731/2070-7568-2021-10-2-84-98>
- Skiba, R. (2020). Conflict De-Escalation: Workplace Training. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(7), 153. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2020.87013>
- Staden, P. V. (1991). Chapter 3: Theoretical issues. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v0i0.4422>
- Staniulienė, S., & Kurienė, I. (2022). Conflict Management by Increasing its Functionality in Case of Lithuanian Organizations. *Management of Organizations Systematic Research*, 87(1), 119. <https://doi.org/10.2478/mosr-2022-0007>
- Suardi, L. S., & Furinto, A. (2023). Mobile Working Stress and Employee Innovative behavior: Exploring the Role of Organizational Conflict and Work Engagement. *Proceedings of the ... International Conference on Business Excellence*, 17(1), 2150. <https://doi.org/10.2478/picbe-2023-0188>
- Suklun, H. (2019). A Case Study: Do Misconceptions Lead To Intergroup Conflicts At Workplaces? *Business And Management Studies An International Journal*, 7(1), 42. <https://doi.org/10.15295/bmij.v7i1.1040>
- Suprpto, W., Maftuh, B., Sjamsuddin, H., & Malihah, E. (2020). Singkawang from Coser's Perspective. *JETL (Journal Of Education Teaching and Learning)*, 5(1), 168. <https://doi.org/10.26737/jetl.v5i1.1894>
- Tejerina, B. (2024). Las teorías sociológicas del conflicto social. Algunas dimensiones analíticas a partir de K. Marx y G. Simmel. *Revista Española de Investigaciones Sociológicas*, 55, 47. <https://doi.org/10.5477/cis/reis.55.47>
- Tjosvold, D. (2007). The conflict-positive organization: it depends upon us. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 29(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.473>

- Tjosvold, D., Wong, A., & Chen, Y. (2019). *Managing Conflict for Effective Leadership and Organizations*. In Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Business and Management. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190224851.013.240>
- Toruan, T. S. L., Riyadi, B. S., & Gusti, D. P. (2023). Conflict Management and Strategy Management at the State Borders of Indonesia. *International Journal of Membrane Science and Technology*, 10(3), 319. <https://doi.org/10.15379/ijmst.v10i3.1537>
- Townsend, M., & Romme, A. G. L. (2024). The Emerging Concept of the Human-Centered Organization: A Review and Synthesis of the Literature [Review of The Emerging Concept of the Human-Centered Organization: A Review and Synthesis of the Literature]. *Humanistic Management Journal*, 9(1), 53. Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41463-024-00168-w>
- Tully, J. (2018). 3. *Reconciliation Here on Earth*. In University of Toronto Press eBooks (p. 83). University of Toronto Press. <https://doi.org/10.3138/9781487519926-005>
- Turner, J. R., Baker, R. M., & Morris, M. J. (2018). *Complex Adaptive Systems: Adapting and Managing Teams and Team Conflict*. In InTech eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.72344>
- Ukka, I. T. I. (2020). Hectic News in Internet: Conflict Issues North Korea – South Korea (Discourse Analysis On North – South Korean Conflict On The Internet). *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 1(4), 111. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v1i4.38>
- Ulum, B. (2024). The Role of Political Party Elites in Reconciling Identity Political Conflicts After the 2019 Presidential Election: A Consensus Perspective. *TEMALI Jurnal Pembangunan Sosial*, 7(1), 31. <https://doi.org/10.15575/jt.v7i1.31695>
- Uzougbo, N. S., Akagha, O. V., Coker, J. O., Bakare, S. S., & Ijiga, A. C. (2023). Effective strategies for resolving labour disputes in the corporate sector: Lessons from Nigeria and the United States. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 3(2), 418. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.20.3.2451>
- Vinay, N., Sudha, M., Ramachandran, M., & Raja, C. (2023). Maximizing the Benefits of Conflict Management In Business. 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.46632/rmc/4/2/8>
- Weerarathna, R. S. (2017). Research Gaps in Organizational Conflicts: Future Research Perspectives. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*, 7(4), 240. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijhrs.v7i4.12214>
- Zuldin, M. (2019). Ketimpangan Sebagai Penyebab Konflik: Kajian Atas Teori Sosial Kontemporer. *Temali Jurnal Pembangunan Sosial*, 2(1), 157. <https://doi.org/10.15575/jt.v2i1.4050>