



Boycott as Resistance: The Global Movement Against Israeli-Affiliated Brands

Yogi Permana Adi Citra^{1*}, Muhammad Diaz Wahyu Darmansyah², Fauzan Ulwan Fadhlurrahman³

¹²Department of Management, University of Ary Ginanjar. Indonesia

³Department of Shariah and Law. University of Al Azhar. Kairo. Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Received May 22, 2025

Accepted June 13, 2025

Published June 16, 2025

Keywords:

Economic Activism; Boycott Movement; Israeli-Palestinian Conflict; Ethical Consumerism; BDS Campaign

ABSTRACT

The ongoing Israeli-Palestinian conflict has provoked widespread global reactions, particularly in the form of economic resistance through consumer boycotts. These boycotts target Israeli-affiliated brands and multinational corporations perceived to be complicit in the occupation and oppression of Palestinians. The core issue lies in the ethical concerns of corporate involvement in human rights violations and the extent to which consumers can influence political dynamics through economic actions. This study aims to explore the global boycott movement as a form of nonviolent resistance, with a specific focus on the Boycott, Divestment, Sanctions (BDS) campaign. Utilizing a library research methodology, this paper draws upon academic literature, news reports, campaign materials, and corporate statements to analyze the motivations, strategies, and impacts of boycott actions worldwide. It investigates how consumer behavior intersects with political activism, and how the digital age amplifies such movements. The research finds that boycotts serve not only as an expression of solidarity with oppressed populations but also as a tool to pressure companies and governments to reevaluate their stances and affiliations. Although the economic impact varies, the symbolic power of collective consumer action contributes significantly to shaping public discourse on global justice. This study highlights the growing importance of ethical consumerism in international relations and recommends further investigation into the legal, economic, and diplomatic consequences of sustained boycott movements.

How to cite: Permana Adi Citra, Y., Diaz Wahyu Darmansyah, M., & Ulwan Fadhlurrahman, F. (2025). Boycott as Resistance: The Global Movement Against Israeli-Affiliated Brands. *SocioHumania: Journal of Social Humanities Studies*, 2(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.70063/sociohumania.v2i1.58>



This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons 4.0 Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ©2023 by author.

* Corresponding author: yogipermana.adicitra@students.esqbs.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict has remained one of the most protracted and polarizing geopolitical disputes in the modern era. Central to this issue are allegations of systemic

oppression, occupation, and apartheid-like conditions imposed by the Israeli state upon the Palestinian people ([Amnesty International, 2022](#)). In response to these conditions, various forms of resistance have emerged over the decades—ranging from diplomatic efforts to grassroots activism. Among the most prominent and nonviolent methods of resistance in recent decades is the global boycott movement, particularly the Boycott, Divestment, Sanctions (BDS) campaign, which began in 2005. This movement calls upon individuals, institutions, and governments to withdraw support—economic, academic, and cultural—from entities complicit in the violation of Palestinian rights ([Barghouti, 2011](#)). As consumers gain greater awareness of the ethical dimensions of their purchasing choices, the act of boycotting products affiliated with Israeli interests has transformed into a form of political protest.

The rise of ethical consumerism reflects a broader global trend in which consumption is no longer a neutral economic activity but a moral and political statement. Modern consumers, empowered by social media and digital activism, are increasingly turning to boycotts as a tool to express discontent and demand change ([Carrier, 2020](#)). This is particularly evident in movements targeting brands perceived to support or profit from the occupation of Palestinian territories. This paper situates the boycott of Israeli-affiliated brands within the broader framework of economic activism and nonviolent resistance, examining how a decentralized yet globally coordinated effort can challenge dominant political structures. It seeks to interrogate the underlying motivations behind these boycotts, assess their effectiveness, and explore their implications for international corporate behavior and global solidarity.

The central issue this research addresses is the ethical and political legitimacy of consumer-led boycotts, especially when such movements confront powerful state and corporate actors. Opponents often argue that these boycotts are politically biased or antisemitic, while supporters view them as essential tools for accountability and justice ([Erakat, 2019](#)). This debate highlights the need for deeper academic inquiry into the dynamics and consequences of boycott-based resistance.

To explore this phenomenon, the study adopts a library research approach, reviewing academic articles, advocacy publications, news sources, and corporate reports. This method allows for a comprehensive understanding of the evolving nature of boycott movements and their socio-political significance across different contexts and cultures. The aim of this research is to analyze the global boycott of Israeli-affiliated products as a form of resistance, exploring its structure, spread, and socio-political outcomes. Specifically, the study seeks to answer the following questions: What drives individuals and institutions to participate in such boycotts? How do these movements influence public discourse and corporate policies? And what are the broader implications for global justice and international law?. Ultimately, this research contributes to the growing body of literature on political consumerism and transnational activism. By critically examining the boycott movement against Israeli-affiliated brands, this paper sheds light on how ordinary citizens, through everyday choices, can participate in global struggles for human rights and justice.

RESEARCH METHOD

This research adopts a qualitative library research approach to examine the global boycott movement against Israeli-affiliated brands as a form of nonviolent resistance. Library research enables the researcher to critically analyze and synthesize various secondary data sources, including academic writings, institutional reports, campaign materials, and news articles,

without engaging in direct fieldwork (George & Bennett, 2005). This approach is particularly suitable for political and ethical issues where documentation and discourse analysis are central to understanding the phenomenon (Zed, 2004).

The data collection process focused on gathering relevant literature published in the last two decades, while also incorporating foundational texts on political consumerism and resistance movements. The sources include peer-reviewed journal articles, books, reports from international human rights organizations (e.g., Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch), public statements from companies involved, and media reports from reputable outlets. Documents were selected based on credibility, relevance, and their contribution to understanding the motivations, mechanisms, and impacts of boycott actions related to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict (Bryman, 2012).

For data analysis, the study utilized qualitative content analysis to identify recurring themes and patterns within the collected literature. This includes thematic categorization of arguments, motivations, counter-narratives, and observed effects of boycott campaigns. The method also draws upon critical discourse analysis to explore how language and framing are used in both advocacy and opposition to the boycott, revealing underlying power structures and ideological biases (Fairclough, 2013). The analysis aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how the boycott functions as a political tool and how it influences global discourse on justice and human rights.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The Boycott Movement as a Form of Nonviolent Resistance

The boycott of Israeli-affiliated brands is widely recognized as a strategic form of nonviolent resistance aimed at challenging the status quo in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Inspired by historical precedents such as the anti-apartheid movement in South Africa, this form of protest targets economic interests rather than engaging in armed struggle (Barghouti, 2011). The BDS (Boycott, Divestment, Sanctions) campaign, launched in 2005, exemplifies this strategy by encouraging global civil society to exert pressure through economic withdrawal.

This strategy has proven effective in reframing the conflict in moral and ethical terms. Rather than focusing solely on state-level diplomacy or armed resistance, boycotts allow individuals and organizations to participate in the struggle through everyday consumer choices (Makdisi, 2008). This democratization of resistance aligns with broader trends in decentralized activism, where individuals can engage from any part of the world. Furthermore, the boycott tactic appeals to global audiences because it invokes universally recognized principles such as human rights, freedom, and equality. These values are often highlighted in BDS materials and advocacy efforts to foster cross-cultural and transnational solidarity (Erakat, 2019). As a result, the boycott functions not just as an economic tool, but also as a symbolic expression of global justice.

Critics argue that boycotts unfairly target Israeli companies and institutions regardless of their direct involvement in human rights violations. However, supporters counter that complicity is often indirect and systemic, especially in contexts of prolonged occupation (Amnesty International, 2022). For them, the boycott remains one of the few peaceful avenues left for international pressure. The nonviolent nature of this movement also provides a contrast to dominant media portrayals of Palestinian resistance, which are often reduced to

images of violence and militancy. By offering an alternative mode of protest, the boycott movement challenges stereotypes and expands the narrative space for Palestinian agency.

Moreover, the success of the movement is not solely measured in economic loss, but in how effectively it raises awareness, shifts discourse, and isolates Israel diplomatically and culturally (Barghouti, 2011). Thus, its strength lies as much in its political symbolism as in its material outcomes. Framing the boycott as nonviolent resistance allows for a more nuanced understanding of how Palestinians and their supporters have adapted their strategies to navigate an imbalanced international system. It also highlights the growing importance of grassroots activism in influencing global policy.

Digital Activism and the Spread of the Boycott Movement

The growth of the boycott movement is deeply linked to the rise of digital platforms and online activism. Social media has provided activists with powerful tools to mobilize support, share information, and coordinate actions across borders in real time (Gerbaudo, 2012). Hashtags like #BoycottIsrael and viral infographics have become central to this strategy. One of the most significant advantages of digital activism is its ability to circumvent traditional media, which are often accused of biased coverage of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict (Pappé, 2017). Activists can now tell their own stories and present counter-narratives to mainstream representations. This grassroots control of messaging helps sustain engagement and personalize the cause.

Digital platforms also allow for rapid responses to political events, such as airstrikes or new policies, enabling the boycott movement to remain dynamic and adaptive. Campaigns can go viral within hours, bringing global attention to specific companies or policies. This immediacy enhances the relevance and visibility of the boycott effort. In addition, the online space enables educational outreach. Many BDS organizations use websites and social media to provide resources, host webinars, and publish boycott lists. These tools empower individuals to make informed ethical decisions without needing academic or political expertise (Barghouti, 2011).

The internet also facilitates transnational solidarity by connecting activists from diverse backgrounds. Pro-Palestinian groups in the Global South, Western democracies, and the Muslim world often collaborate and amplify one another's efforts online. This decentralized coordination strengthens the global character of the boycott movement (Gerbaudo, 2012). However, digital activism faces challenges such as misinformation, algorithmic suppression, and surveillance. Social media platforms have been accused of removing pro-Palestinian content, which hampers the visibility of boycott campaigns (PEN America, 2021). These digital obstacles reflect broader power asymmetries in online spaces.

Despite these challenges, the internet remains an indispensable arena for the contemporary boycott movement. It has transformed the way resistance is organized, allowing for mass participation and innovative forms of protest that transcend physical borders. Thus, digital activism has not only amplified the boycott movement's voice but has also redefined the nature of modern political resistance. It serves as a model for how global civil society can mobilize against injustice in an interconnected world.

Corporate Complicity and the Ethics of Consumption

A core argument driving the boycott movement is the assertion that many multinational corporations are complicit—either directly or indirectly—in supporting the Israeli occupation

and human rights abuses. These companies are often involved in constructing settlements, supplying military technology, or providing services that sustain the occupation infrastructure ([Human Rights Watch, 2020](#)). As a result, boycotting their products becomes an ethical response aligned with human rights principles. For example, companies like HP, Puma, and Caterpillar have been targeted by boycott campaigns due to their involvement in Israeli security and settlement systems. The movement calls on these corporations to divest from Israeli operations or face reputational and economic consequences ([BDS Movement, 2023](#)). This pressure places ethical responsibility not only on states but also on the private sector.

The framing of these actions within the lens of ethical consumerism has resonated globally. In recent years, consumers have increasingly demanded that corporations reflect moral values in their business practices, whether in terms of environmental sustainability, labor rights, or social justice ([Carrier, 2020](#)). The boycott of Israeli-affiliated brands fits within this broader trend of value-driven consumption. However, the question of complicity is often contested. Critics argue that global supply chains are too complex for consumers to judge accurately, and that punishing companies may harm workers or inadvertently affect unrelated communities. These debates underscore the importance of transparency and due diligence in corporate operations ([Ismail, et.al, 2024](#)).

Additionally, some governments have responded by passing anti-BDS laws or penalizing organizations that support boycotts, raising concerns about freedom of expression and corporate accountability ([Erakat, 2019](#)). These measures reflect a growing tension between state policies and consumer-driven activism. Despite these challenges, the boycott movement continues to emphasize the need for informed consumption as a tool for justice. It encourages the public to view everyday economic decisions as inherently political and capable of influencing global systems of oppression and inequality.

In this context, boycotts are not simply calls for inaction (i.e., not buying); they are proactive demands for ethical transformation in corporate behavior and supply chain ethics. They represent a form of economic democracy wherein consumers use their market power to support human rights. Thus, corporate complicity serves as a crucial axis around which the moral and political arguments of the boycott movement revolve. It raises urgent questions about how capitalism, accountability, and justice intersect in a globalized economy.

The Symbolic and Discursive Power of Boycotts

Beyond economic impact, the global boycott movement wields significant symbolic power. The act of refusing to buy or engage with Israeli-affiliated brands serves as a public declaration of solidarity with the Palestinian cause. It communicates a clear moral stance that resonates with movements for justice worldwide ([Makdisi, 2008](#)). This symbolic power is particularly important in contexts where direct political action is limited. Individuals who cannot influence foreign policy directly can still participate meaningfully through boycott. In this way, symbolic resistance democratizes political participation, especially among marginalized or politically powerless communities.

Moreover, the boycott movement challenges dominant narratives that often frame the Israeli-Palestinian conflict as a symmetrical dispute. By highlighting the structural violence and systemic inequality embedded in the occupation, the movement reframes the conflict through a lens of settler colonialism and racial injustice ([Pappé, 2017](#)). The movement also forces public institutions and corporations to take a position, whether through silence,

support, or opposition. This dynamic often leads to polarizing debates, but it also compels deeper engagement with the underlying issues. Public discourse shifts from general calls for “peace” to more specific discussions of justice, accountability, and decolonization.

In academic settings, the boycott debate has provoked intense discussions about academic freedom, institutional neutrality, and the role of scholarship in political movements. These conversations reflect the broader cultural influence of boycott campaigns and their power to unsettle established norms. Importantly, the symbolic power of boycott is cumulative. Even when individual campaigns fail to produce immediate economic consequences, their discursive impact builds over time—creating a moral climate in which complicity becomes increasingly difficult to justify (Barghouti, 2011).

Thus, the boycott becomes not only a tool of withdrawal but also a vehicle for moral education and political consciousness. It invites individuals and institutions to reconsider their role in systems of global injustice and to act in accordance with ethical principles. The symbolic power of boycotts lies in their ability to change hearts, minds, and discourse—making them a vital part of the broader architecture of resistance (Mulyana, et.al, 2024).

Limitations and Challenges of the Global Boycott Movement

Despite its successes, the global boycott movement faces several challenges and limitations. One major obstacle is the legal repression of BDS campaigns in various countries, particularly in the United States and parts of Europe, where anti-BDS laws have been enacted to penalize supporters or deny them public funding (Erakat, 2019). These legal measures raise concerns about the suppression of free speech and the criminalization of nonviolent activism. Organizations like Human Rights Watch and the American Civil Liberties Union have criticized these laws as incompatible with democratic principles (ACLU, 2020). As such, the movement must continually navigate complex legal terrains while defending its legitimacy.

Another challenge is fragmentation within the movement itself. While the global character of the boycott is one of its strengths, it also leads to divergent strategies, messaging, and priorities. Some activists focus on academic and cultural boycotts, while others prioritize consumer goods or financial institutions. This diversity can dilute impact and create confusion among supporters. Additionally, the economic impact of the boycott is difficult to quantify. While some companies have made changes in response to pressure, many others continue their operations undeterred. This has led to criticism that the boycott is more symbolic than effective in material terms (Carrier, 2020). Critics argue that political and diplomatic engagement may offer more tangible results.

Moreover, the movement’s association with the Palestinian cause has led to accusations of antisemitism, especially from pro-Israel advocacy groups. While BDS leaders have consistently rejected these claims and emphasized their anti-racist platform, the accusation remains a powerful tool in delegitimizing the movement (Barghouti, 2011). There is also the risk of movement fatigue, especially as global crises compete for public attention. Sustaining momentum and solidarity over long periods requires constant innovation, education, and coalition-building. Without this, support may wane.

Despite these challenges, the movement continues to grow, largely due to its adaptability, grassroots structure, and moral clarity. The campaign's success should not be measured only in economic terms, but in its ability to keep the Palestinian issue visible on the global stage. In this light, the limitations of the movement do not invalidate its legitimacy.

Rather, they highlight the complex political environment in which civil resistance operates, and the need for strategic refinement and broader coalition-building.

Another notable limitation is the unequal media representation of the boycott movement, which often results in skewed public perceptions. Mainstream media in the Global North frequently frames BDS as controversial or extremist, overshadowing its roots in international law and human rights (Pappé, 2017). This portrayal not only delegitimizes the movement but also discourages public engagement and support, particularly among uninformed or moderate audiences. Furthermore, intersectional solidarity poses both opportunities and challenges (Azhari, et.al, 2024). While many BDS supporters align the Palestinian cause with other global struggles—such as Black Lives Matter, Indigenous rights, or climate justice—there is ongoing tension about how to maintain unified messaging across diverse platforms (Erakat, 2019). The need to balance specificity (Palestinian self-determination) with broader justice frameworks sometimes dilutes focus or causes internal disputes over priorities and tactics.

The movement also confronts corporate counter-strategies, including public relations campaigns and legal defenses that attempt to separate companies from political accountability. Many brands under boycott attempt to reframe their involvement in Israel as apolitical or purely economic, thereby appealing to liberal consumers who may be sympathetic to Palestine but hesitant to act against large corporations (Carrier, 2020). This complicates efforts to generate widespread public pressure. Another internal challenge is sustaining grassroots organizational capacity. As volunteer-driven campaigns, many boycott groups operate with limited financial resources, high turnover, and burnout among activists. Long-term sustainability requires consistent funding, leadership development, and infrastructure—all of which are difficult to maintain in movements frequently subject to political repression and legal battles (Barghouti, 2011).

The lack of centralized coordination can hinder strategic impact. While the decentralized structure allows for flexibility and grassroots ownership, it can also lead to fragmented campaigns, inconsistent messaging, and difficulties in measuring success. A more structured global strategy—while preserving local autonomy—may help amplify results, especially when targeting multinational corporations or state-backed institutions.

CONCLUSION

The global boycott movement against Israeli-affiliated brands represents a significant form of nonviolent resistance within the broader struggle for Palestinian rights. This research demonstrates how boycott campaigns function not only as economic pressure tools but also as powerful symbolic acts that raise global awareness and challenge dominant political narratives. Through digital activism and transnational solidarity, the movement has succeeded in mobilizing diverse actors and creating a participatory space for political engagement beyond traditional diplomatic channels. However, the movement faces persistent challenges, including legal repression, fragmented strategies, and contested claims of complicity and effectiveness. These obstacles highlight the complex and often hostile political environments in which economic activism operates. Despite these difficulties, the boycott movement continues to adapt and sustain momentum by leveraging grassroots mobilization, ethical consumerism, and critical discourse. Ultimately, the boycott as resistance underscores the potential of collective consumer action in contesting global injustices. It reflects a growing

trend toward integrating moral values into everyday economic decisions and expanding the reach of civil society in international conflicts.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

This study provides an in-depth conceptual analysis of the global boycott movement against Israeli-affiliated brands through a qualitative, library-based research methodology. However, several limitations must be acknowledged to contextualize the scope and boundaries of the research. Primarily, the reliance on secondary data sources—such as academic literature, advocacy publications, and media reports—constrains the ability of the study to capture real-time developments, grassroots mobilization, and individual actor motivations. The absence of primary data collection methods, such as field interviews or surveys, limits the empirical depth and restricts the possibility of triangulating findings for greater validity and reliability.

Additionally, the study does not incorporate quantitative assessments that could provide measurable insights into the economic and political impacts of boycott campaigns. As a result, the material outcomes of these movements—such as shifts in corporate policy, revenue changes, or alterations in public perception—remain largely inferred rather than statistically substantiated. The decentralized and transnational character of the boycott movement further complicates any attempt to generalize outcomes or to evaluate strategic coherence across diverse regional contexts. This dispersion, while a source of strength in terms of mobilization, simultaneously poses analytical challenges in constructing a unified narrative or framework.

The politically contested nature of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict also introduces epistemological and representational limitations. Digital suppression, selective media framing, and institutional biases may affect the availability and visibility of critical sources, potentially skewing the research landscape. Furthermore, internal fragmentation within the movement—manifested in divergent goals, tactical emphases, and ideological orientations—introduces additional layers of complexity that are difficult to capture comprehensively within the scope of a single study.

In light of these limitations, future research is strongly recommended to adopt mixed-methods approaches that integrate both qualitative and quantitative techniques. Empirical investigations utilizing surveys, interviews, or ethnographic fieldwork would enrich the understanding of participant motivations, organizational dynamics, and socio-political outcomes. Longitudinal studies could also be conducted to assess the evolving efficacy of boycott strategies over time, particularly in relation to corporate responses and shifts in public discourse.

Comparative research across various global boycott movements—such as those related to climate justice, racial equality, or indigenous rights—may offer valuable insights into the shared mechanisms and differential outcomes of economic activism in distinct sociopolitical contexts. Furthermore, legal and policy-oriented studies are needed to examine the impact of anti-boycott legislation on civil liberties, democratic participation, and international legal norms. Such research would not only deepen theoretical understanding but also inform advocacy strategies and policy development aimed at preserving the legitimacy of nonviolent resistance within democratic frameworks. Addressing these research gaps is essential for developing a more comprehensive and empirically grounded analysis of the boycott movement as a form of transnational resistance and ethical political engagement.

REFERENCES

- ACLU. (2020). *Anti-BDS laws and the First Amendment*. <https://www.aclu.org/issues/free-speech>
- Amnesty International. (2022). *Israel's apartheid against Palestinians: Cruel system of domination and crime against humanity*. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/mde15/5141/2022/en/>
- Azhari Julian, F., & Ameliana, E. (2024). Barriers to Blockchain Technology Adoption in the Halal Supply Chain: Insights from Manufacturers and Regulatory Authorities. *SocioHumania: Journal of Social Humanities Studies*, 1(2), 83–97. <https://doi.org/10.70063/sociohumania.v1i2.48>
- Barghouti, O. (2011). *Boycott, Divestment, Sanctions: The Global Struggle for Palestinian Rights*. Haymarket Books.
- BDS Movement. (2023). *Who we boycott*. <https://bdsmovement.net>
- Bryman, A. (2012). *Social Research Methods* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Carrier, J. G. (2020). Shopping for justice: The rise of ethical consumerism. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 20(2), 132–148.
- Erakat, N. (2019). *Justice for Some: Law and the Question of Palestine*. Stanford University Press.
- Fairclough, N. (2013). *Critical Discourse Analysis: The Critical Study of Language* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- George, A. L., & Bennett, A. (2005). *Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences*. MIT Press.
- Gerbaudo, P. (2012). *Tweets and the Streets: Social Media and Contemporary Activism*. Pluto Press
- Human Rights Watch. (2020). *A Threshold Crossed: Israeli Authorities and the Crimes of Apartheid and Persecution*. <https://www.hrw.org>
- Ismail, J., Haris Muchtar, A., Irsyad Hanafi, M., Ibnu Affandi, A., & Ulil Abshor As Shofy, M. (2024). Integrating AI and Communication: A Study on Ethical Practices in Halal Supply Chain Management. *TechComp Innovations: Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 1(2), 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i2.54>
- Makdisi, S. (2008). *Palestine Inside Out: An Everyday Occupation*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Mulyana, A., Maulidizen, A., & Azhari Julian, F. . (2024). Barriers and Drivers of Halal Supply Chain Integration: A Qualitative Analysis of Existing Literature. *Journal of Islamic Law and Legal Studies*, 1(2), 63–77.
- Pappé, I. (2017). *Ten Myths About Israel*. Verso Books.
- Zed, M. (2004). *Metode Penelitian Kepustakaan*. Yayasan Obor Indonesia